## City of Bismarck

The total project cost suggested for the City of Bismarck is $\$ 862,150$. The project cost breakout for roadway segment, right-angle intersection, and pedestrian/bicyclist intersection projects are listed in Table 4-2. High-priority locations that received a project are shown in Figure 4-8 and Tables 4-3 through 4-5. These locations are described in further detail in Appendix A along with priority rankings and suggested project sheets.

TABLE 4-2
City of Bismarck Project Costs

| Project Type | Cost |
| :--- | :---: |
| Roadway Segments | $\$ 288,150$ |
| Right-Angle Intersections | $\$ 44,000$ |
| Pedestrian and Bicyclist <br> Intersections | $\$ 530,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 862,150$ |

TABLE 4-3
City of Bismarck - Urban Segment Projects

| Corridor ID | Local Street Name | Risk Ranking | 2-Lane to 3-Lane Conver. (miles) | Project Cost (\$) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 803.01 | Calgary Ave | $\star \star \star$ | 0.8 | \$12,750 | 10th Street to 19th Street |
| 808.01 | Burnt Boat Dr | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0.27 | \$ 4,624 | Tyler Parkway to Broadview Lane |
| 810.01 | Interstate Ave | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0.7 | \$11,832 | Century Ave to Springfield (0.4 $\mathrm{mi})$, 9 th St to State St ( 0.3 mi ) |
| 810.02 | Interstate Ave | $\star \star \star$ | 0.6 | \$10,200 |  |
| 813.01 | College Dr | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0.5 | \$ 8,500 |  |
| 825.01 | N 4th St | $\star \star \star \star$ | 2.8 | \$ 47,600 |  |
| 826.01 | N 19th St | $\star \star \star$ | 2.1 | \$ 35,700 |  |
| 830.02 | Divide Ave | $\star \star \star$ | 2 | \$ 34,680 | 4-lane divided between 94 and Century Ave |
| 830.03 | Divide Ave | $\star \star \star \star$ | 1.3 | \$ 22,440 | State St to Volk Dr |
| 833.01 | Memorial Hwy / Front Ave | $\star \star \star$ | 1 | \$ 17,204 | Washington to 12th Street |
| 836.01 | Rosser Ave | $\star \star \star$ | 3 | \$ 50,575 | Turn lanes in downtown between 1st and 7th |
| 846.01 | S 3rd St | $\star \star \star \star$ | 1.9 | \$ 32,045 | Hwy 810 to Rosser Ave already 5lane |
|  |  | TOTALS | 15.2 | \$ 288,150 |  |

TABLE 4-4
City of Bismarck - Urban Segment Projects

| Corridor ID | Local Street Name | Access <br> Management <br> $(\mathrm{mi})$ | Confirmation <br> Lights | Project Cost (\$) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 194.01 | Bismarck Exp | 0 | 9 | $\$ 18,000$ |
| 809.01 | Century Ave | 0 | 6 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| 810.01 | Interstate Ave | 0 | 2 | $\$ 4,000$ |
| 810.02 | Interstate Ave | 0 | 2 | $\$ 4,000$ |
| 815.01 | Capital Ave | 0 | 2 | $\$ 4,000$ |
| 845.01 | Washington St | 0 | 2 | $\$ 4,000$ |
|  | TOTALS | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\$ 44,000$ |

## TABLE 4-5

City of Bismarck - Urban Pedestrian and Bicycle Projects

| Corridor ID | Local Street Name | Countdown <br> Timers | Project Cost (\$) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 83.01 | US 83 (State St) | 3 | $\$$ |
| 83.03 | N 7th St | 50,000 |  |
| 83.04 | N 9th St | 5 | $\$$ |
| 194.01 | Bismarck Exp | 80,000 |  |
| 809.01 | Century Ave | 5 | $\$$ |
| 815.01 | E Capital Ave | 80,000 |  |
| 833.01 | Front Ave | 2 | $\$$ |
| 834.01 | E Broadway Ave | 30,000 |  |
| 836.01 | Rosser Ave | 5 | $\$$ |
| 845.01 | Washington St | 20,000 |  |
| 845.02 | Washington St | 7 | $\$$ |
|  |  | 53 | $\$ 0,000$ |
|  |  |  | $\$$ |



FIGURE 4-8
High-Priority Urban Corridors

## Burleigh County Project

| Projects |  | Total Costs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Rural Intersections | 16 intersections | $\$$ | 525,950 |
| Rural Segments | 48 miles | $\mathbf{2 0 7 , 6 9 0}$ |  |
| Rural Curves | 22 curves | $\mathbf{\$}$ | 119,024 |
|  | Total Rural Projects | $\mathbf{8 5 2 , 6 6 4}$ |  |
| Urban Segments (Rear End/Head On) | 18 miles | $\$$ | 288,150 |
| Urban Intersections (Right Angle Crashes) | 23 intersections | $\mathbf{\$}$ | 44,000 |
| Urban Intersections (Ped/Bike Crashes) | 49 intersections | $\mathbf{\$}$ | 530,000 |
|  | Total Urban Projects | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 2 , 1 5 0}$ |
|  | Total Burleigh County | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 1 4 , 8 1 4}$ |


| Corridor ID | Route \# | Local Street Name | Start | End | Length | Risk Ranking | 2-Lane to 3Lane Conv | Project Cost (\$) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 803.01 | 803 | Calgary Ave | N Washington St | N 19th St | 1.5 | $\star \star \star$ | 0.8 | \$ 12,750 | 10th Street to 19th Street |
| 808.01 | 808 | Burnt Boat Dr | River Rd | Tyler Pkwy | 0.8 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0.27 | \$ 4,624 | Tyler Parkway to Broadview Lane |
| 810.01 | 810 | Interstate Ave | Century Ave | State St | 2.4 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0.7 | \$ 11,832 | Century Ave to Springfield ( 0.4 mi ), 9th St to State St |
| 810.02 | 810 | Interstate Ave | Interstate Ave | $N$ 19th St | 0.6 | $\star \star \star$ | 0.6 | \$ 10,200 |  |
| 813.01 | 813 | College Dr | Schafer | Divide Ave | 0.8 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 0.5 | \$ 8,500 |  |
| 825.01 | 825 | N 4th St | E Main Ave | Calgary Ave | 2.8 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 2.8 | \$ 47,600 |  |
| 826.01 | 826 | N 19th St | E Divide Ave | End | 2.1 | $\star \star \star$ | 2.1 | \$ 35,700 |  |
| 830.02 | 830 | Divide Ave | W Century Ave | State St | 2.4 | $\star \star \star$ | 2 | \$ 34,680 | 4-lane divided between 94 and Century Ave |
| 830.03 | 830 | Divide Ave | State St | E Bismarck Expy | 2.4 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 1.3 | \$ 22,440 | State St to Volk Dr |
| 833.01 | 833 | Memorial Hwy / Front Ave | River Rd | S 12th St | 2.3 | $\star \star \star$ | 1 | \$ 17,204 | Washington to 12th Street |
| 836.01 | 836 | Rosser Ave | W Main Ave | E Bismarck Expy | 3.5 | $\star \star \star$ | 3 | \$ 50,575 | Turn lanes in downtown between 1st and 7th |
| 846.01 | 846 | S 3rd St | Santa Fe Ave | E Boulevard Ave | 2.9 | $\star \star \star \star$ | 1.9 | \$ 32,045 | Hwy 810 to Rosser Ave already 5-lane |
|  |  |  |  |  | 19.8 |  | 15.2 | \$ 288,150 |  |

Detailed Corridor Information

| Currigh | \# | ame | Start | End | Road Type | ${ }^{\text {city }}$ | Weighted ADT | Length | Speed Limit | \# Lanes | Median | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ceneral } \\ \text { Seved } \\ \text { Shuod } \\ \text { Widat } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ghavel } \\ \text { Shouder } \\ \text { W} \end{gathered}$ | Curb ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {Cuter }}$ | Shoulder Type | Transit Route | Ped Generator | Descripion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sidewalk / } \\ \text { Bikeway } \end{gathered}$ | Descripion |  |  | Primay Land Use |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Acosss } \\ \text { Wiie }}}^{\text {a }}$ | Access Rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{800.01}{801}$ <br> 801 <br> 101 | ${ }_{801}^{800}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { Lasalle or }}$ Ash Coulee | $\xrightarrow{\text { Canada Ave }}$ Comica | ${ }_{\text {Highway } 83}^{\text {NWastingon St }}$ | Urban Collector |  |  | 0.5 <br> 11 <br> 1 | Low | 2 | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | $\stackrel{2}{1}$ | Yes No No | ${ }_{\text {Composte }}^{\text {Comosite }}$ | No | ${ }_{\text {Nos }}^{\text {Nos }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Middl }}^{\text {No school }}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { Yes }}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ | Resiential |  | ${ }_{182}^{42.0}$ | Edge |
| 801.01 801.02 | ${ }_{801}^{801}$ | ${ }_{\text {Ash Coulee Dr }}^{43 \text { did }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Corrice }}$ NWasfing |  | Uuran Minor A Areeral | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Bismarck } \\ \text { Bismark }}}^{\text {iter }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{3,785}}^{2,755}$ | ${ }_{4}^{1.1}$ | Ligh |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ No |  |  | No | ${ }_{\text {Composte }}^{\text {Comosite }}$ | No | Yes | Midede school | Yes | ${ }_{\text {cotpah }}^{\text {No }}$ | No | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ No | Residential | ${ }_{47}^{20}$ | ${ }^{18.2} 11.8$ | Edge |
| ${ }_{802.01}$ | 802 | No Name | 12 th t NE | 43rd Ave NE | Urban Collector | Bismarck | 385 | ${ }^{0.3}$ | Low |  | No |  |  | Yes | Paved | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Industrial | 14 | 46.7 |  |
| 803.01 | 803 | Calgary Ave | N Washington St | N 19 th St | Urian Collector |  | ${ }_{3,228}$ | 1.5 |  |  | No | ${ }^{8}$ |  | Yes | Paved | No | No | No | Yes | 6 t Sidewalk | No | No |  | 34 | 56.0 |  |
| ${ }^{804.01}$ | 804 | yrona Dr | Country West Rd | Valley Dr | Urban Collector | Bismarck | 643 | ${ }^{0.7}$ | Low | 2 | No | ${ }^{8}$ | 0 | Yes | Paved | No | No | No | No | 6 trsiden | No | No | Reside | 60 | 85.7 |  |
|  |  | untry West Rd | Tyer Phay | Cenury ave | Urian Coliector | Bismarck |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Paved |  |  | Church |  | 6 tfide |  | No |  | 14 | 28.0 |  |
| 80.01 | 806 | Valey ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Tyerku | 俍 | Uran Coliector | Bismarck | 2,038 | ${ }^{1.3}$ |  | 2 | No | 8 | 0 | Ves | Pave | No | No | № |  |  | Yes | No | Ressienial | ${ }^{35}$ | 26.9 |  |
| ${ }_{8}^{807.01}$ | ${ }_{808} 80$ | ${ }_{\text {Carman }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {Powder }}$ Tyuer Ruwy | Urian Coliector | ${ }_{\text {bismark }}^{\text {Bismarck }}$ | ${ }_{\text {li, }}^{\substack{\text { 4,705 }}}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.8}$ | Low | ${ }_{2}$ | ${ }_{\text {No }}^{\text {No }}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | 0 | ¢ | $\xrightarrow{\text { Paved }}$ Paved | No | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ No | No | $\xrightarrow{\text { Nos }}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6 \text { Hfsidewak }}$ | No | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ No | Residential | ${ }_{28}^{29}$ | ${ }_{35.0}^{36.3}$ | $\substack{\text { Edge } \\ \text { Edge }}$ |
| 01 | 809 | Centur Ave | Tver PRwy | Hamilton St | Urban Prinipipal | Bismarck | ${ }_{11,033}$ | ${ }^{3.8}$ | High |  | Yes |  | 0 | Yes | Pave | No | Yes | Mall | Yes | 8 t Sidewak | No | No | Commer | 70 | 18.4 | UedianEldge |
| 889.02 | 809 | elur Ave |  |  | ollecoror Pincipal |  | 5,250 |  |  |  | No |  |  | No | Paved | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |  | 8.3 | Edge |
| 810.01 | 810 | ersale Ave | Centur Ave | State St | Uran Collector | Bismarck | 5,817 | ${ }^{2.4}$ | Low | ${ }^{3}$ | No |  |  | Yes | Paved | No | No | No |  | Siden | No | No | Residenial | 171 | ${ }^{71.3}$ |  |
|  | 810 | Intersalae Ave | Intersalae Ave |  | Urian Coliector | marck | 2,319 | 0.6 | Low |  | No |  |  | Yes | Paved | No | No | No |  | 6 HSTidev | Yes | No | Conme | 30 | 50.0 |  |
| - 1.01 | 811 | No Name | miessale Ave |  | olector | marck | 7,060 | . 1 |  |  |  | ${ }^{6}$ | 0 |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  | No |  |  |  | MedianlEge |
| ${ }_{\text {ckine }}^{812.01}$ | ${ }_{813}$ | Nooraska or | Cenury Ave | Divide Ave | Urian Coloerector | ${ }_{\text {bismarck }}^{\text {Bismarck }}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5,280}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.8}$ | Low | ${ }_{2}$ | No | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | ! | ¢ | $\xrightarrow{\text { Paved }}$ Paved | No | No | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ No | Yes | ${ }_{6}^{6 \mathrm{HfSI} \text { Stidewak }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Yos }}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ No | Residential | ${ }^{15}$ | 50.0. | $\underset{\substack{\text { Edge } \\ \text { Edge }}}{\text { cele }}$ |
| 814.01 | 814 | Tumpike Ave | Divide Ave | N 4 th St | Urban Colector | Bismarck | ${ }^{2,51}$ | 1.4 |  |  | No |  | 0 | Yes | Paved | No | No | No | Yes | 6 t Sidewak | No | No | Residential | 119 | 85.0 |  |
| 815.01 | 815 | apiol Ave | N 4 th St | End | diector |  | ${ }_{3,128}$ | 1.7 |  |  | No | 8 | O | Yes | Paved | No | No | No |  | 6 tSidewak | No |  | Residen | 157 | 92.4 |  |
| 816.01 | 816 | N 12 th St | State st | Capiotive | Uran Collector | Bismarck | 575 | ${ }^{0.3}$ | Low |  | No |  |  | Yes | None | No | Yes | Traier Park |  | 12 tPath | No | No | Comme | 14 | 46.7 |  |
| ${ }^{816.02}$ | 816 | ${ }^{\text {N22th St }}$ | Capitiolve | State St | Urran Collector | Bismarck | 65 | 01 | Low |  | No |  |  | Yes | None | No | No | No |  | 12 HPa | No | No | Comme | 8 | 80.0 | None |
| ${ }_{8}^{817.01}$ | 8 |  |  |  | Urban Collector | Bismarck |  | ${ }_{0}^{0.1}$ | Low |  | No |  | 0 | res | Poved | No | No | No | Yes | 6 thisidewak | No |  | ${ }^{\text {Renisidenial }}$ | 5 | 80.0 | None |
| ${ }_{818.01}$ | 818 | N22ndst | Boulevard Ave | Divide Ave | Urban Collector | marck | ${ }^{1,283}$ | 0.4 | Low | 2 | No | 8 | 0 | ves | Paved | No | No | No | Yes | 6 t Sidewak | No | No | Residen | 48 |  |  |
| 819.01 | 819 | Valleview / Crocus Ave | N 26th st | N 35th st | Urban Collector | Bismarck | 840 | 0.8 | Low |  | No | 8 | 0 | Yes | Paved | No | No | No |  | 6 tridew | No | No | Residential | 72 |  | None |
| ${ }_{220.01}$ | 820 | Ward Rd | Wave C | Clege Dr | Uraan Collector |  | 6,944 | 0.8 | Low |  | No | 6 | 0 | Yes | Paved | No |  | Park | Yes | 6 tfSidev | No | No | Residential | 19 | 23.8 |  |
| 82.01 | 821 | N 16 nst | Broadway Ave | Divide Ave | Urban Minor Atereial | Bismarck | 5,123 | 1.2 | Low | 2 | No | 8 | 0 | Yes |  | No | Yes | Park |  | 6t Sidewalk | No | No | Residential | ${ }^{143}$ | 119.2 |  |
| ${ }^{82201}$ | ${ }_{82}^{823}$ | Netrst | ${ }^{\text {ENaman }}$ WRe | Eave $A$ | Uran Collector | Bismarck | ${ }_{1}^{2,814}$ | ${ }^{0.3}$ | Low | 2 | ${ }^{\text {No }}$ | 8 |  | Yes | ${ }^{\text {Paved }}$ | No | ${ }_{\text {Yos }}$ | Hosptal |  | 6 thsoewak | No | No | Commercal | ${ }^{22}$ | ${ }^{73.3}$ | Edge |
| ${ }^{822.01}$ |  | N Siffl St W Boweeard | W Aosserative |  | Uriban Pinincipal / Collector / Minor Ate | Bismarck | ${ }_{4}^{1,30}$ | ${ }_{1}$ | Low |  | ${ }_{\text {Nos }}$ |  | 0 | Yes | ${ }_{\text {Paved }}$ | No | Vos | Na, |  | 6 tisidewalk | ${ }_{\text {No }}$ | No | Reside |  |  | None |
| ${ }_{824.02}^{824}$ | 824 | E Boulvevard Ave | State St | $\mathrm{N}_{26 \text { btis }}$ | Utran Collectoror Minioro Atereial | Bismarck | ${ }_{2,888}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.8}$ | Low | 2 | No | 8 |  | Yes | Pave | No | Yes | School | Yes | 6 t Sidewak | No | No | Residential | 107 | 59.4 |  |
| 825.01 | ${ }^{825}$ | N 4 lt St | E Main Ave | Calagar Ave | Urban Collector / Minor Atereial | Bismarck | 5,236 | ${ }^{2} 8$ | Low |  | No | 8 | 0 | Yes | Paved | No | Yes | Park |  | Sidew | Yes | No | Residential | 224 | 80.0 | None |
| 826.01 | 826 | N 19 ht | E Divide Ave | End | Urban Collector M Minor A Ateral | Bism | ${ }_{6}^{6,224}$ | 2.1 | Low |  | No | 8 | 0 | Yes | Paved | No | Yes | School | Yes | 6 tisiden | No | No | Residential | 95 | 45.2 |  |
| ${ }^{27.01}$ | 827 | Centennial Rd | 1.94 | 43da Ave NE | Uran Pinicipal | Bismarck | 10,34 | 1.4 | Low |  | No |  | 0 | Yes | Paved | No | No | No |  | 6t Sidewalk | No | No | Residential | 16 | 11.4 |  |
| 32.01 | ${ }^{82}$ | Noshst | ERosser Ave | EDivie Ave | Urian Coliecoror | Bismarck | 1,26 | ${ }^{1.0}$ | Low |  | No |  |  | res | Paved | No | No | No |  | 6 fisidew |  |  | Ressien |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{8}^{839.01}$ |  |  |  | WW Centur A Ave | Urian M Minororatereial | ${ }_{\text {Bismarck }}^{\text {Bismark }}$ | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{\substack{\text { l.6.63 }}}$ | 3.2 0.7 | Low | ${ }_{2}$ | No | ${ }_{8}$ | 0 | ¢ | ${ }_{\text {Paved }}^{\text {Paved }}$ | No | No | No | ¢ | ${ }_{6}$ titididewalk | No | No | ${ }^{\text {Residiontal }}$ | ${ }^{82}$ | ${ }_{88,6}^{25.6}$ | Eoge |
| 830.02 | 830 | Divide Ave | W Centur Ave | State St | Urban Principal M Minor Aterial | Bismarck | 10,139 |  | Low |  | No |  |  |  | Pave | No |  | Ca |  |  |  |  | Residen | 106 | 44.2 |  |
| 830.03 | 830 | Divide Ave | State St | E Bismarck Expy | Urban Minor Aterial | Bismark | 8,684 | 2.4 | Low |  | No | 8 | 0 | Yes | Paved | No | No |  | Yes | 6 tsidewalk | No | No | Resiciential | 101 | 42.1 |  |
| ${ }^{830.04}$ | 830 | Divide Ave | E Bismarck Expy | N 52nd St | Urban Minor Atereial | Bismarck | 5,250 | ${ }^{1.0}$ | High | 2 | No | 2 | 2 | No | Composite | No | No | No | No |  | No | No | mmer | 23 | 23.0 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{833.01}$ | ${ }^{832}$ | Ave ${ }_{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{\text {NBeIfst }}$ | ${ }^{\text {N }}$ (tanst | Uran Colector |  | 4,439 | ${ }^{1.6}$ | Low | 2 | No | 10 | 0 | Ves | ${ }_{\text {Paved }}^{\substack{\text { Paved }}}$ | No | Ves | Park | Yes | ${ }_{6}^{6 \text { Htsidewak }}$ | ${ }^{\text {No }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {Residionial }}$ |  | ${ }^{96.3}$ |  |
| ${ }_{833.01} 8201$ | ${ }_{83} 8$ | Memorial hwv/ Front Ave | River Rd | S 12tIt St | Urian Cololector / Minor A Aterial | Bismarck | 7,497 | ${ }_{2}{ }^{0.3}$ | Low | ${ }_{2}$ | No | 8 | 0 | Yes | Paved | No | No | No | Yes | 6 ftidewalk | No | No | Commercial | 82 | 35.7 | Eodge |
| 834.01 <br> 83501 <br> 88.0 | ${ }_{835}^{834}$ | EMemoria ${ }^{\text {ETr }}$ | N Washingtor St | ${ }_{\text {N } 26 \mathrm{tst}}^{\text {St }}$ | Urban Collector M Minor Atereral |  | 3,670 | 2 |  |  | No | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | 0 | Yes | ${ }^{\text {Pava }}$ | No |  |  |  | Sidev |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{62.5}$ |  |
| ${ }^{836.01}$ | ${ }_{836}$ | Rossera Ave | W Main Ave |  | Urban Minor Anterial | Bismarck | 7,286 | ${ }_{3}{ }^{2}$ | Low |  | No |  |  | Yes | Paved | No | No | No |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {No }}$ | dital |  | ${ }_{78.6}$ |  |
| 837.01 | 837 | 268 St | Aimay Ave | EDivide Ave | Urban Minor Aterial | Bismarck | 6,614 | 2.5 | Low |  | No | 8 | 0 | Yes | Paved | No | Yes | School | Yes | 6 tSidewak | No | No | Commeri | 92 | 36.8 | Edge |
| ${ }^{838.01}$ | 838 | Eastala Dr | EMain Ave | ERosser Ave | Uran Collector | Bismarck | 1,135 | ${ }^{0.3}$ | Low | 2 | No | 12 | 0 | Yes | Paved | No | No | No | Yes | Sidewalk | No | No | Comme |  | ${ }^{76.7}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5nast | Apple Creek Rd | Dinde Ave | Uran coile | Bismarck | f, |  | Low |  | No |  |  | No |  | No | No | No | No |  | No | No |  |  | 12.7 |  |
| ${ }^{3} 8401$ | ${ }^{893}$ | Ebowen Ave | SWashmgionave |  | Uran Colector Mmmor Areeral | Bismarck | 2,510 | ${ }^{1.5}$ | Low |  | No | 12 | O | Yes | ${ }_{\text {Paved }}$ | No | Ves | Рако | res | 6 trisewak | res | No | Resisental |  | 80.0 |  |
| ${ }_{84501}$ |  | SWashington Ave | ${ }_{\text {34thene }}$ Suve | Bismarck Expy | Uramen Pinoricipal | Bismarck | ${ }_{5,196}^{2,06}$ | 2 | Low |  | No | 2 | 0 | No | Paved | No | No | No | Yes | 10tt Path | No | No | Residential | 46 | 23. | Eoge |
| 845.02 | 845 | N Washington Ave | Bismarck Expy | 1.94 | Urban Principal M Minor Aterial | Bismarck | 13,778 | ${ }^{2.5}$ | Low | 3 | No | ${ }_{8}$ | 0 | Yes | Pave | No | No | No | Yes | 6 t Sidewalk | No | No | Commercial |  | 0.0 |  |
| ${ }_{84503}$ | 845 | NWashningon Ave | 1.94 | Cily Lmit |  |  | 9,620 | ${ }^{2.1}$ | High |  | No |  | 0 | No | Paved | No | No | No |  | 10 tr alh | No | No |  | 24 | 11.4 |  |
| ${ }^{846.01}$ | 846 | 53 3rat | Sana fe Ave | EBoulevard Ave | Urian Collector M Mior A Ateral | Bismarck | ${ }_{6,525}$ | ${ }^{2.9}$ | Low | ${ }^{3}$ | No | ${ }^{6}$ | 0 | Yes | Pave | No | No | No | Yes | 6tiscen | No | No | Residenial | ${ }^{221}$ | ${ }^{76.2}$ | None |
| ${ }^{8} 47.01$ | ${ }^{847}$ | Rverwod dr |  |  | Unan Coiecour | ${ }^{\text {Bismarck }}$ Bismack |  | 21 <br> 0.8 <br> 17 | Low |  | No |  |  | res | ${ }_{\text {Paved }}$ | ${ }^{\text {No }}$ | Nos | Nak | res |  | No | No | ${ }^{\text {Residionial }}$ |  | 18.0 | Edage |
| ${ }_{8}^{848.01}$ | ${ }_{848}$ | Airontrd | University Or | Ebroadway Ave | UTban Minoro Aterial | ${ }_{\text {Bismarck }}$ | ${ }_{\text {2,773 }}$ | ${ }^{17}$ | Low | ${ }_{5}$ | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ | ${ }_{4}$ | 0 | ¢ | Paved | No | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ | No | Yes | 6 ftididewak | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ |  | ${ }^{54}$ | ${ }_{31.2}$ | Edge |
| 849.01 | 849 | 12 th St | City Limit | ser Ave | rama | Bismarck | 3,751 | 2.7 | Low |  | No |  | 0 | Yes | Paved | No | No | No |  | Sidee | No |  |  | ${ }^{133}$ | 49.3 |  |
| 850.01 | 850 | Nacher Ave | swashington St | Sth st | Urban Colector | Bismarck | 3,889 | ${ }^{0.8}$ | Low |  | No | ${ }_{8}$ |  | Yes | Pave | No | No | No |  | Sidewak | Ves | No | Residential | 39 | 111.3 |  |
| ${ }_{851.01}$ | 851 | Aimay Ave | Airport Rd | brthem Plains Dr | Urban Minor Atereial | marck | 1,700 | ${ }^{1.2}$ | Low |  | No |  | 0 | No | Paved | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |  | 13 | 10.8 | None |
| ${ }^{8554.01}$ |  | Suntegh Ave |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}$ Siversily | Uuban Colector IMinor Atereal | ${ }_{\text {Bismarck }}^{\text {Bismark }}$ | 1,439 <br> 810 <br> 8 | 2.5 <br> 0.4 | Low |  | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ | ${ }_{8}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Paved } \\ \text { Paved }}}$ | No | No | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ | ¢ | ${ }_{\text {ftitidewalk }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Nos }}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ | Undevelopead | 22 | ${ }_{55.0}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { Eoge }}$ None |
| ${ }^{855.01}$ | 855 | Aimay Ave /Yegen Rd | 26 th St SE | E Bismarck Expy | Urban Minor Atereial | Bismarck | 4.409 | 4.1 | Low | 2 | No | 6 | 0 | No | Pave | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Undeveloped | 19 | 4.6 | None |
| $\stackrel{185601}{857.01}$ | ${ }_{857}^{850}$ | Lefth | Alite | Lincolin Rd | Urraan Pinorimipal | ${ }_{\text {Bremer }}^{\text {Bismarck }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{3,096 \\ 1,323}}$ | 0.5 | Low |  | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ |  | 0 | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { Paved }}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Ressiontial }}$ | 9 | ${ }_{18.0}^{88.0}$ | ${ }_{\text {Eage }}^{\text {Eode }}$ |














| Burle | County U | Local Name | List | City | Trafic Control ${ }_{\text {Device }}^{\text {Strett }}$ Light ${ }_{\text {config }}$ |  |  |  |  | Major |  | $\times$ |  |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | x | x |  | x | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Volume |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overhead } \\ & \text { Signal } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Major } \\ & \text { Spoed } \end{aligned}$ | Major ApproachLanes |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Maior Left Left } \\ \text { Signal }}}{\text { ignati }}$ | Minor Approach | $\underset{\substack{\text { Mino Left } \\ \text { Signal }}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Seneral } \\ & \text { Parking } \end{aligned}$ | Skew | OnNearCureare | Development／ | RR Xing | Notes | Bus Stop |  |  | Minor <br> Median |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Marked Crosswalk | Major |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 800.01 | 800.01 | Otawa St | US 5000 （State St） | Bismarck | Thru－STOP | No | T |  |  | ${ }^{9,360}$ | Count | Divided |  |  | TTR | LTT |  | T |  | No | No | Yes | No | No |  | № | № | Yes | № |
| ${ }_{8}^{801.01}$ | ${ }_{8}^{801.01}$ | Ash Coulee Dr 43 Id Ave NE | Maley Do | $\substack{\text { Bismarck } \\ \text { Bismarck }}$ | Thu－STop | Yes | ${ }^{\text {T }}$ | ${ }_{9.855}^{3.810}$ | Count | Undivided |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Low }}$ | ${ }_{T}$ T | TL |  | ， |  | Yes | ${ }_{\text {No }}^{\text {No }}$ | Yes | ${ }_{\text {Nos }}^{\text {No }}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ No |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ | Yes | No | No |
|  | ${ }^{801.01}$ | ${ }^{\text {archa Ave Ne }}$ | US 5 Soo |  |  |  |  |  |  | Divided | Yes |  | ${ }_{\text {LTTR }}$ | TTR | Protected | LT LT | Peminited |  |  |  |  |  | Approaches include butfer lanes |  |  |  |  |
| 801.04 | 80102 | ${ }^{43}$ 4rd Ave NE | Frontase ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | Yyield | Nos | T | 4.310 | Count | Undiv |  | High |  |  |  | Umarked |  | Yes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | No | No | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | No | 㲓 | No |
| 801.05 | 801.02 | 43 drd Ave NE | N 19 ht St |  | u－ST |  |  |  |  |  |  | High | TL | TL |  | TL |  | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No |  |  |
| 801.06 | 801.02 | 43 d Ave NE | Centennial Rd | Bisme | Thru－SToP | No | $\times$ | 7.593 | Count | Undivided |  | High | LTR | LTR |  | LTR LTR |  | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| 2.01 | 802.01 | No Name | US 5000 （State St） | marck | Thu－STOP | Yes | T | 7，808 | Count | Divided |  |  | LT | TT |  | mmarked |  | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No | Yes | No |
| 33．01 | ${ }^{803.01}$ | Calgary Ave | ash |  |  | Yes | T | 10，315 |  | ded |  | Low |  | TT |  | marked |  |  | No | No | No | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| 803.02 | 803.01 | Calgary Ave | No Name | Bismarck | controled | Yes | T | 夈 | ount | Undivided |  | Low | Unmarked | Unmarke |  | mark |  | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| 803.03 | 803.01 | Calgary Ave | 5000 （state | smarck | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | 535 | Count | Divid | Yes | Low | LTTT | LTTR | Protected | LT LT | nited | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| 803.04 | 803.01 | Calgary Ave | N19th St | smarck | Thru－STOP | Yes | x | 5.873 |  | ded |  | Low | TT | TT |  | Unmarked Unmarked |  | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| 804.01 | ${ }^{804.01}$ | Daytona or | Country West Rd | Bismarck | Thru－Stop | No | ＋ |  | Count | Undivided |  | Low | T | T |  | Unmarked |  | Yes | No | No | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| 804.02 | ${ }^{804.01}$ | Daytona dr | Valey Dr | Bismarck | Yield | Yes | $\times$ | 250 | ount | Undivided |  | Low | T | T |  | Unmarked |  | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| 805.01 | 80501 | Country West Rd | Tyler Pkwy | Bismarck | Thu－STop | res | $\times$ | ， | Ount | Undivaed |  | Low | T | T |  | T |  | Yes | No | res | No | No | Croswal | No | No | No | No |
| 805.02 | 805.01 | Country West Rd | W Century Ave | Bismarck | Thru－STop | Yes | T | ${ }_{12,123}$ | Count | Divided |  | Low | IT | LT |  | RL |  | No | No | Yes | No | No | Crosswalk paint almost too faint to see | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| ${ }^{800.01}$ | 800．01 | Valey or | Tyuer Payy | Bismarck | rela | Yes | T | 迷 | count | Undiviod |  | Low | LT | Unmarked |  | Unmarked Unmarked |  | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| ${ }_{80801}^{808.01}$ | ${ }^{307.01}$ | Clarmont Rd | Bumb Boator | ${ }^{\text {Bismarck }}$ | ThruSTop | Ves | T | ${ }^{5}$ | Count | Undivided |  | Low | T | T |  | Unппаке |  | No | Yes | Yes | No | No |  | No | No | № |  |
| ${ }_{808.02}$ | 808.01 | Burnt toat Dr | Tyerer Pkwy | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | x | ${ }_{22,280}$ | Count | Divided | Yes | Low | TT | LTT | PP | LT | PP | No | No | yes | Yes | No |  | No | res | Yes | Yes |
| 809.01 | 809.01 | W Century Ave | Tyler Pkw | Bismarck | Thru－STOP | Yes |  | 13，685 | Count | Divided |  | Low | LTT | ${ }^{\text {TR }}$ |  | LTR |  |  | No | No | Yes | No |  | No | Yes | Yes |  |
| ${ }_{80902} 80.02$ | 809 | W Centur Ave | W Interstate | mark | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | 13，670 | Count | Divided | Yes | Low | ${ }_{\text {LTT }}^{\text {LTT }}$ | LTT | Permited | LT | Permited | No | No | Yes | Yes | No |  | No | yes | Yes | No |
| ${ }_{\text {8 }}^{809.03}$ | ${ }_{8}^{809.01}$ | W Century Ave ECentur Ave | ${ }_{\text {N Washington St }}^{\mathrm{N} 4 \mathrm{th} \text { St }}$ |  | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | ${ }^{24,7,355}$ | Count | Divided | Yes | Low | LTT | LTT | ${ }_{\text {PP }}$ | LT LT | Persitited | No | No | No | Yes | No | Abench．．．．maybe us stop？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| 809.05 | 809.01 | ECentury Ave | $N$ 11th St | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | 22，255 | Count | Divided | Yes | Low | LTT | LTT | PP | Unmarked | PP | No | No | yes | Yes | No | crosswalk faded | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| ${ }_{8}^{809.06}$ | ${ }^{80909}$ | ECentury Ave | US 5000 （State St） | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | 28，650 | Count | Divided | Yes | Low | LTTR | LTTR | PP | LLTTTR LLTtTR | Protected | No | No | No | Yes | No |  | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 809.07 <br> 809.08 | ${ }_{8}^{809.01}$ | ECentur Ave | $N$ N 1 Sth St | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | $\times$ |  | Count |  |  | Low | LTTR | $\stackrel{\text { LT }}{\text { TT }}$ | Protected | LTR LTTR |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ | No | Yes | Yes | No |  | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 809.09 | 809.02 | ECentur Ave | Centennial Rd | Bismarck | Signal |  | x | 18.688 | Count | Unknown | nkrown | hknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown Unkown | Unknown | Unknown | inknow | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Only photos during major construction： | ameters un |  |  |  |
| 810.01 | 810.01 | W Interstate Ave | ${ }^{N}$ Wassington St |  |  |  |  |  |  | Undivided | Yes | Low | LT | LTR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 810.02 | 810.01 | $E$ Interstate Ave | N 4t St | Bismarck | signal | Yes | $\times$ | 11，968 | Count | Undivided | yes | Low | LT | ${ }_{\text {LT }}$ | Permited | ${ }_{\text {LT }}$ LT | Permited | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| 810.03 | 810.01 | E Interstate Ave | Gateway Ave | Bismarck | Thu－STOP | Yes |  | 12，225 | Count | Unknown | Unknown | Uknow | Unkrown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown Unknow | Unknown | Unknown | Inknow | Unkno | Unknown |  | Only photos during major construction；par | ars |  |  |  |
| 810．05 | ${ }^{810.01}$ | Weiss Ave | US 5000 （is Ave （tate $S t$ |  | Yiela | Yes | ＋ | ${ }^{8,1188} 1$ | Count | U Undivided $\begin{aligned} & \text { Divided }\end{aligned}$ |  | Low | LTTR | Unmarked |  | Unmarked |  | No | No | Yes | Yes | No |  | No | ${ }_{\text {Nos }}^{\text {Nos }}$ | No | No |
| 310.08 | 810.02 | E Interstate Ave | US 5000 （state St） | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | 24,580 | Count | Divided | Yes | Low | LITTR | LTTTR | Protected | LTT LT | PP | No | No | No | Yes | No |  | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 810.09 81101 | 810.02 | E Interstate Ave | N 19 ht St |  | Thur－STOP |  |  |  | count |  |  | Low | LT | LT |  | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{81.01}{81301}$ |  |  | US 5000 （Stale St） |  | Thru－STop | Yes | $\times$ |  |  | Divided |  | Low | LTT | LTT |  | R ${ }_{\text {R }}$ |  | No | No | No | Yes | No | Pakkng lotis 4 4n approach | No | es | es |  |
|  | ${ }^{813.01}$ | Schaier st | Coviese D ¢ |  | stop |  |  |  | Count |  | res | Low |  |  | Permitea | Tr | Permited | No | No | vos | No | No | Coleae parking ot is th anprach |  | ves | Yes |  |
| ${ }_{813.03}$ | ${ }_{813.01}$ | College Dr | Ward Rd | Sismarck | Thru－STop | Yes | T | ${ }_{8,190}$ | Count | Undivided |  | Low | LT | $\mathrm{LT}^{\text {ct }}$ |  | T |  | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | College pakngiotis 4ir approa | No | Yes | No | No |
| 813.04 | 813.01 | College Dr | w Divide Ave | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | T | 14，738 | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | T | LT | PP | LR | Permited | No | No | Yes | Yes | No |  | No | Yes |  |  |
| ${ }^{814.01}$ | 814.01 | W Turpike Ave | W Divide Ave | Bismarck | Thu－STOP |  |  | 13，998 | Count | Undivided |  | Low | LT | TT |  |  |  | No | No | Yes | Yes | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }_{8}^{814.02}$ | 814.01 | W Turnike Ave | NWastington St | Bismarck | Thu－STop | Yes | $\times$ <br> $\times$ <br> $\times$ | 16，563 | Count | Undivided |  | Low | T | T |  | Unmarked Unmarked |  | Yes | No | No | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ | No |  |  |  | No |  |
| ${ }^{814.03}$ | ${ }^{814.01} 8$ | ETurpike Ave | ${ }_{\text {N4th St }}$ | ${ }^{\text {bilismarck }}$ | Thru－STop | Yes | $\stackrel{+}{\times}$ | 10，218 | Count | Undivided |  | Low | T | T |  | Unmarked Unmarked |  | Yes | No | No | No | No |  | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{ }$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { Yes }}$ | No |  |
| 815.02 | 815.01 | E Capitol Ave | N 12 th St （West） | Bismarck | Thu－STOP | No | T | 5，060 | Count | Undivided |  | Low |  | T |  | Unmarked |  | No | No | Yes | Yes | No |  | No | No | No |  |
| ${ }^{815.03}$ | 815.01 | E Capitol Ave | N 12 th St （ East） | Bismarck | Thu－STOP | Yes | T | 5.110 | Count | Undivided |  | Low |  | LT |  | Unmarked |  | No | No | No | Yes | No | parking lot exit | No | Yes | No | No |
| 815.04 <br> 81505 |  | ECapito Ave | Statest $N$ N 19 thst st |  | Signal | Yes | ＋ | ${ }_{12,125}^{17,25}$ | Count | Divided | ${ }_{\text {Yes }}^{\substack{\text { Yes }}}$ | Low | ${ }_{\text {LTT }}$ | LTT | Protected <br> Permited | T | ${ }_{\text {Permited }}^{\substack{\text { Permitted }}}$ | Nos | No | ${ }_{\text {Nos }}^{\substack{\text { No }}}$ | ¢ | No No |  | No No | ¢ | Yes No Nos | No <br> No |
| ${ }^{816.01}$ | ${ }^{816.01}$ | N 12 th St | State st（South） | Bismarck | Thru－STOP | Yes | ${ }^{\top}$ | 13，033 | Count | Divided |  | Low | LTTT | LTTT |  | T |  | No | No | Yes | Yes | No |  | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| 816.02 88701 8 | 816.02 | ${ }^{1212 t h ~ S t}$ | State St（North） | Bismarck | Thu－STOP | Yes | $\times$ | 433 |  | Divided |  |  | LTT | LTTT |  | Unmarked Unmarked |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81801 | 81801 | ${ }^{\text {N20nrst }}$ | EBivie Ave | Bism |  | Yes |  | 2， 190 | count |  |  | Low |  |  |  | mared |  | res | No | No | No | No |  | No | res |  | Noo |
| 818.02 |  |  | Eneravo |  | Ther | es |  |  |  | Unaviad |  | － |  |  |  | T |  | Ves | No | es | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| 819.01 | ${ }^{819.01}$ | Vallenview Ave | ED26tits st | Bismarck | Thru－STOP | No | T | ${ }^{8,713}$ | Count | Undivided |  | Low | T | T |  | Unmarked |  | No |  | No | Yes | No |  |  |  |  |  |
| 819.02 | 819.01 | N31st Ave | Crocus Ave | Bismarck | Uncontroled | Yes | T | 1，785 | Count | Undivided |  | Low |  |  |  | Unmarked |  | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| 819.03 | 819.01 | Crocus Ave | N 35th 5 t | Bismarck | Uncontroled | Yes | T | 1，350 | Count | Undivided |  | Low | Unmarked | Unmarked |  | Unmarked |  | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| $\frac{820.01}{82101}$ | ${ }^{820.01}$ | Ward Nd | A Ave C／N Giffin St | Bism | Stignal | Yes | Unknow | ${ }_{8}^{8.970}$ | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low |  | T | Permited | T T | Permited | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }_{\text {l }}{ }_{821.02}^{821.01}$ | ${ }_{821.01}^{821.01}$ | ${ }_{N} 16 \mathrm{th}$ St | ERosser Ave |  | All－wy STop | Yes |  | ${ }_{\text {doren }}^{\substack{8,263}}$ | Count | Undiviad |  | Low | T | T |  | T T |  | Yes | No | No | No | No |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }^{821.03}$ | 82.01 | N 16 th St | EAve C | Bismarck | Al－way STOP | Yes | $\times$ | 8，013 | Count | Undivided |  | Low | T | T |  | T T |  | Yes | No | No | No | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }_{8} 821.04$ | ${ }^{821.01}$ | N 16 ht st | EAve D | Bismarck | Thru－STop | Yes | $\times$ | 7，053 | Count | Undivided |  | Low | T | T |  | T T |  | Yes | No | No | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| 821.05 <br> 821.06 <br> 8 | 822.01 <br> 821.01 <br> 8200 |  | E Eoulivaratave |  | （illen | Yes |  | ${ }^{8,1,363}$ |  | Undivided |  | cow |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { LR }}{ }$ |  | ¢ Yes | No No | No | No | No No dor |  | No No | Yes | No No | No <br> No |
| ${ }^{822.01}$ | 82.01 | N6th St | E Broadway Ave | Bismarck |  |  |  | 5,875 | Count | Undivided |  | Low |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | One－way approaches | No |  | No |  |
| 822.02 <br> 82.03 | 822.01 82.01 | N 6th St N ¢itht St | EThayer Ave ERosser Ave | Sismarck | Unknown Signa | Yes | ＋ | $\xrightarrow{3,128} \begin{aligned} & 1,415 \\ & 1\end{aligned}$ | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low Low | LT | LT | Permited | Unkow | Permited | $\xrightarrow{\text { Yes }}$ | No | No No | ${ }_{\text {res }}^{\substack{\text { Yes } \\ \text { Ves }}}$ | No | One－ways； n need for tra | No No | Yes | No | No |
| 823.01 | 823.0 | NBell St | W Rosser Ave |  | Thuu－S |  | $\times$ | 6,108 | ount |  |  | Low | LT |  |  | T T |  | Yes |  | Yes | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |
| 3 3.02 | 823.01 | N Bell St | W Ave C |  | Thu－STOP |  | x |  |  |  |  | Low | T | T |  | T T |  | Yes | No | No | No | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }^{824.01}$ | 824.01 | W B | NWash | Bismarck | Signal | es |  | 17，543 | Count | Undivided |  | Low | T | T |  | T T |  | Yes | No | No | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| （824．02 | 824.01 | W Boulevard Ave | N 3 crd St | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | 10，600 | Count | Undivied | Yes | Low | ${ }_{\text {LT }}^{\text {LT }}$ | LTR | ${ }_{\text {Permited }}$ | ${ }_{\text {LT }}^{\text {LT }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Permited }}$ |  |  | No No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 824.04 | ${ }_{824.01}$ | E Boulevard Ave | N7th St | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | x | 19，203 | Count | Divided | yes | Low | TTR | LTT |  | Unknown |  | Yes | No | No | No | No | One－way | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| 824.05 82406 | 824.01 | EBoulevard Ave | N gh St | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | T | 19，840 |  | Divided | Yes | Low | TT | LR | Permited | TTT | Unknown | No | No | Yes | Yes | No |  | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| ${ }^{824.06}$ | ${ }^{824.02}$ | E Boulevard Ave | State St |  | Thu－STOP | Yes |  | 16，910 |  |  |  | Low | TI | Tr |  |  |  | No | No |  | Yes | No |  | No | No | Yes |  |
| ${ }^{824.07}$ | 824.02 | E Boulevard Ave | N 26 th St | Bismarck | Thru－STOP | Yes | T | 8.163 | Count | Undivided |  | Low | T | T |  | T |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| ${ }_{\text {l }}^{825.01}$ | ${ }^{825.01}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{4} \mathrm{th}$ St | E Broadway Ave | Bismarck | All－wa Stop | Yes | $\times$ | ${ }_{4}^{5,688}$ | Count | Undivided |  | Low | T |  |  | T LT |  | Yes | No | No | Yes | No |  | Bi | Yes | No |  |
| ${ }_{825.03}$ | ${ }_{825.01}^{625}$ | N 4 th St | $\underset{\substack{\text { E Thayer Ave } \\ \text { E Rosser Ave }}}{ }$ | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | x | 12，410 | Count | Undivided |  | Low | LT | LT | Permited | T T | Permited | Yes | No | No | Yes | No |  | No | Yes | No |  |
| 825.04 82505 | ${ }^{825.01}$ | N 4 ht St | EAve C | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | 11，375 | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | LT | LT | Permited | ${ }_{T}^{T}$ T | Permited | Yes | No | No | No | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| 825.05 <br> 825.06 | ${ }^{825.01}$ 82501 | ${ }_{\text {Nath St }}$ | E EDivie Ave | Sismarck | Signal | Yes | $\times$ |  | Count | Undivied | Yes | Low | Unmare | LT |  | Unmarked |  | ¢ | No | ${ }_{\text {Nos }}^{\substack{\text { No } \\ \text { Yes }}}$ | No | No No |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ | ¢ | No | No |


|  | County U | rban Intersectio | on L | city |  | x |  |  |  | x | x | x |  |  | x |  |  | $\times$ | $x$ | * | $\times$ | x | x |  | $\times$ | x | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Segment\# | Local Name | Cross Street |  | Trafic ControlDeviceLitretLights Config |  |  | Volume |  | Major Config | Overhead Signa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Major } \\ & \text { Spead } \end{aligned}$ | Major ApproachLanes |  | Major Lef Signal | Minor Approach |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Minor Left } \\ \text { Signal } \end{gathered} \underset{\substack{\text { General } \\ \text { Sarking }}}{ }$ |  | Skew | OnNear Cure | Development / | RR Xing | Notes | Bus Stop |  | $\underbrace{\text { Median }}_{\text {Major }}$ | Minor <br> Median |
| 826.01 | 826.01 | N 19 ht St | EDivide Ave | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | T | 15.285 | Count | Undivided | Yes |  | T | TR | Protected | LR |  | Perritied | Yes | No | No | No | No |  | No | Yes | № | № |
|  | ${ }^{828.01}$ |  | E Rosser Ave | Bismarck | Tru-STOP | No | ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | 4,698 | Count | Undivided |  | Low |  |  |  | marked | Unmarked |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | S |  | No | No | No | , |
| 828.02 | 828.01 | N 354 tht | EDivide Ave | Bismarck | Thu-STOP | Yes | T | 4,540 | Count |  |  | Low | T | T |  | Unmarked |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes |  | No | No | No | No |
| 829.01 <br> 829.02 | 829.01 829.01 | ${ }_{\text {W }}^{\substack{\text { Prbor Ave } \\ \text { River Rd }}}$ |  |  | Stigal | Y Yes | $\stackrel{\times}{+}$ | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{16,208}$ | Count Count | Undivied | Yes | Low | $\stackrel{\text { LTT }}{\text { LT }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LTT }}{\substack{\text { LT }}}$ | Permitted | ${ }_{\text {LTR}}^{\text {LTR }}$ | LT | Permitted | No No | $\xrightarrow[\text { Nos }]{\text { Nos }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { No } \\ \text { Yes }}}^{\text {Y }}$ | Yes No Yo | No |  | No No | Yes No ¢ | No | No <br> No |
| ${ }^{330.01}$ | ${ }^{830.02}$ | W Divide Ave | $N$ Washingtor st | ${ }^{\text {Bismarck }}$ | Signal | Yes | ${ }^{\times}$ | ${ }^{25,5988}$ | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | LT | ${ }_{\text {LTT }}$ | des | ${ }_{\text {LT }}$ | ${ }_{\text {LTP }}$ | ${ }^{\text {PP }}$ | No | No | No | Yes | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| 530.02 | ${ }^{830.02}$ | EDivie Ave | State St | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | - |  |  |  | Yes |  | LTT | LTT |  |  | LTR |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | No |  | No | No | Yes |  |
| ${ }_{\text {cki }}^{830.03}$ | ${ }^{830.03}$ | Einve Ave |  |  | Signal | Yes | + | ${ }^{14,448}$ | Count | Unavaed | Yes | Low | ${ }_{\text {ITR }}^{\text {LTR }}$ | ${ }_{\text {LTTR }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Perminted }}$ | LT | LTR | ${ }_{\text {Permitited }}$ | Yes | No | ¢es | Yes | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ |  | No | Yes | Noo | No |
| -330 | ${ }_{830.03}$ | Divide Ave |  |  | Thu-STop | No | T |  |  | Undivided |  |  | T | T |  | T |  |  | No | No | No | No | No |  | ${ }_{\text {No }}$ | No | No | No |
| ${ }^{331.01}$ | ${ }^{83.01}$ | W Ave C | NWassington St |  | Signal | Yes | x | 退 8 | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | LT | LT | Perritted |  |  | Permited | Yes |  | No | No | No |  | No | Yes | No |  |
| 83.02 | 83.01 | EAve C |  |  | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | 245 | Count |  |  | Low | LT | T | Perrited | LT |  | Permited | Yes | No | No | No | No |  | No | es | No | No |
| ${ }_{8}^{831.03}$ | 83.01 | EAve C | N 5th St |  | Thru-STOP | No | $\times$ | 7,858 | Count | Undivided |  | Low | ${ }_{\text {TR }}$ | ${ }_{\text {LT }}$ T |  | T | T |  | Yes | No | No | No | No | One-way | No | Yes | No | No |
|  | ${ }^{831.01}$ | EAve C | N 7 hl St | ${ }^{\text {Bismarck }}$ | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | - 16,288 | Count | Undived | Yes | Low | ${ }_{\text {LTR }}^{\text {LTR }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {Perminited }}$ | T | LT | ${ }_{\text {Pemmited }}$ | Yes | No | No | ${ }_{\text {ros }}$ |  |  | No | Yes | No | No |
|  | 832.01 | N26th St | Eave ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | Yes | T | 8,283 | Count | Undivided |  | Low | T | T |  | T |  |  | Yes | No | No | No |  |  | No | No | No | No |
| 833.01 | 83.01 | W Front Ave | s Wastington St | marck | Signal | Yes | x | 16,355 | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | LTTR | LTTR | Permited | LT | LT | Perrited | No | Yes | Yes |  | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| 83.02 | 83.01 | E Front Ave | S 3 rd St | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | 088 | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | LTT | LTT | Permited |  |  | Permitte | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }^{83.03}$ | ${ }^{833.01}$ | EFront Ave | S 5 th St | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | 8,288 | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | TT | TT | Perritted | $\stackrel{\text { LT }}{ }$ | LT | Permited | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | crosswalk | No | Yes | No | No |
| 833.04 | ${ }^{833.01}$ | EFront Ave | S7th St | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | 17,380 | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | TTTT |  | Permited | T | $\stackrel{\text { LT }}{+}$ | Permited | No | No | No | Yes | No | One | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }_{8}^{833.05}$ | ${ }^{833.01}$ | EFront Ave | S 9 ght | ${ }^{\text {Bismarck }}$ | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | ${ }^{14,928}$ | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | TTT | T | Perrnited | LT | T |  | ${ }_{\text {Nos }}$ | No | No | Yes | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| 34.01 | 834.01 | W Broadway Ave | NWastingtor St | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | x | 23,583 | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | LTT | LTT | Permited | T | T | Permited | Yes | No | No | Yes | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }^{834.02}$ | 834.01 | E Broadway Ave | N 3 cr St | Bismarck | Signal | Yes |  | 11,480 | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | LTR | LT | Permited |  | T | Permited | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }_{\text {8 }}^{834.03}$ | ${ }^{834.01}$ | E Broadway Ave | $\mathrm{N}^{5} \mathrm{th}$ St | Bismarck | Signal | No | $\times$ | ${ }_{6}^{6,810}$ | Count | Undivided | No | Low | TTT |  | Permited | LT | T | Permited |  |  | No | Yes | yes |  | No | res | No | No |
| 83404 | 54.01 |  | N7 | Bismarck |  | res | x | 17,413 | Count | Und |  | Low | TTT |  | Permited | T | T |  | No | No |  | res | No |  |  | res | No | No |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | coun |  | res |  | Tr | No | Pemmited | T | TR | , |  |  | No |  | No |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {b }}^{\text {834.07 }}$ | ${ }_{834.01}^{84.01}$ | E Broadway Ave | N177t st | Sismarck | ThruStop | Yes | + | ${ }^{\text {d,315 }}$ | Count | Undivided | No | Low | LT | LT | No | T | T | No | ¢ | No | No | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| 834.08 | 834.01 | E Broadway Ave | Airport Rd | Bismarck | Signal | Yes |  | ${ }_{8.523}$ | Count | Undivid | Yes | Low | LT | LT | Perrited | LR |  | Permited | Yes | No | No | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| 834.09 | 834.01 | E Broadway Ave | N26th St | Bismarck | Thu-STOP | Yes | x | 11,458 | Count | Undivided | No | Low | T | T |  | T | T |  | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| 83.01 | ${ }^{835.01}$ | W Thayer Ave | NWastington St | Bismarck | Thru-Stop | Yes | $\times$ | .800 | count | Undivided | No | Low | TT | TT |  | T |  |  | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| ${ }^{835.02}$ | ${ }^{835.01}$ | W Thayer Ave | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {rad }}$ St | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | 9,283 | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | LT | $\stackrel{\text { LT }}{ }$ | Permited | T | T | Permited | Yes | No | No |  |  |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| 835.03 | ${ }^{835.01}$ | W Thayer Ave | $\mathrm{N}_{5 \text { th }} \mathrm{St}$ | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | x | 5,055 | Count | Undivided | No | Low | LT | T | Perritted | T | T | Permited | Yes | No | No | No | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }_{\text {8 }}^{\text {836.02 }}$ | ${ }_{83601}^{36301}$ | WRosser Ave | $\underset{\substack{\text { NWashington } \\ N \text { rat St } \\ \text { Nt }}}{\text { N }}$ | ${ }^{\text {Bremarck }}$ | signal | Ves | $\times$ | ${ }^{22,408} 1$ | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | $\stackrel{\text { LTr }}{\text { LTR }}$ | LT | ${ }^{\text {Permited }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LT }}{ }$ |  | Permitited | Yes |  | No | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| ${ }^{836.03}$ | ${ }^{836.01}$ | ERosser Ave | ${ }^{5}$ 5t St | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | x | 13,525 | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | LT | LT | Perritted | T | T | Permited | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }^{836.04}$ | ${ }^{836.01}$ | E Rosser Ave | N7h St | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | x | 22,598 | Count | Undivided | Yes | ? | LTTR |  | Permited | LT | TR | Permited | No | No | No | Yes | No | Hos | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }^{836.05}$ | ${ }^{83601}$ | ERosser Ave | ${ }^{\mathrm{N} \text { ginst }}$ | Bismarck | signal | Yes | ${ }^{\times}$ | ${ }^{20,910}$ | Count | Undivided | res | $?$ | LTR |  | Perritited | TT | $\stackrel{\text { TR }}{\text { T }}$ | Permitited | No | No | No | Yes | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }_{\text {cose }}^{\text {835.06 }}$ | ${ }_{33601}^{83601}$ | ERosser Ave | N12th st |  | Thru-Stop |  | $\times$ | 7,915 | Count | Undiviced | Yes | Low |  | T |  | T |  |  | Yes | No | No | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {8350.08 }}$ | ${ }^{8360.01}$ | ERosser Ave ERosser Ave | Eastale or |  | Thrusisop | Yes | $\times$ | ${ }_{\text {l }}{ }_{\text {li465 }}^{1,960}$ | Count | Undiviced |  | Low | ${ }_{T}^{\text {LT }}$ | T |  | T | T | Permited | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }_{836.09}$ | 836.01 | ERosser Ave | E Bismarck Expy | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | x | 11085 |  | Undivided | Yes | ? | LTT | LTT | Permited | LT | T | Permited | No | No | Yes | Yes | No |  | No | Yes | No |  |
| ${ }^{837.01}$ | ${ }^{837.01}$ | S 26 th | Airway Ave |  | Thu- |  |  | 2,528 | Count | Undivided |  | Low | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No | No | No |  | No |  | No | No |
| 837.02 88.703 | ${ }^{837.01}$ | S 26th St | E Bismarck Expy | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | 16,495 | Count | Undivided | Yes | $\xrightarrow{\text { Low }}$ | LTR |  | pp | T | T | pp | No | No | No | Yes |  |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }_{\text {l }}^{\text {837.7.03 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {8473 }}^{837.01}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Railroad } A \text { Ave }}{\text { SWashingon St }}$ | Bismarck | Thru-STop | Yes | T | ${ }^{9,135}$ | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | LTT |  |  | T |  | Permitted |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Yes }}$ | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{843.02}$ | 843.01 | E Bowen Ave | s 3 rd St | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | 14,665 | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | LTT | LTT | Permited | TL |  | Pemmited | No | No |  |  | No |  | No | Yes | No |  |
| ${ }_{843.03}$ | 843.01 | E Bowen Ave | S 5 tht | Bismarck | Al-way STOP | Yes | $\times$ | 6,430 | Count | Undivida |  | Low | TT | т |  | TT | TT |  | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }_{8}^{843.04}$ | ${ }^{843.01}$ | Ebowen Ave | S7th St | Bismarck | Thu-STOP | Yes | $\times$ | 15,120 | Count | Undivided |  | Low | LTTR |  |  | T | T |  | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }_{8}^{843.05}$ | ${ }^{843.01}$ | Ebowen Ave | s 9th St | Bismarck | Thru-STop | Yes | x | 11,813 | Count | Undivided |  | Low | ${ }_{\text {LTR }}$ |  |  | T | T |  | No | No | No | Yes | No | One-way street | No | No | No | No |
| 843.06 843.07 | 朗843.01 | E Bowen Ave |  | Bismarck | Tru-STop | Yes | ${ }^{\times}$ | ${ }_{8,315}^{7,725}$ | Count | Undivied |  | Low | TTL | TTL |  | T | T |  | Yes No No | No | No No | ${ }_{\text {res }}^{\text {No }}$ | No |  | No | No No | No | No No |
| ${ }^{845.01}$ | 845.01 | $s$ Wassingtor St | 34 th Ave SW | Bismarck | Al-way STOP | Yes | x | 3,833 | Count | Undivided |  |  | TR | T |  | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No | Yes |  |  |
| ${ }^{845.02}$ | ${ }^{845.01}$ | $s$ Wastington St | Wacher Ave | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | $\times$ | 9,845 | Count | Undivided |  | Low | TT | TT | Permited | TR | TR | Permited | No | No | No | Yes | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| 845.03 <br> 845.04 | ${ }_{84501}^{84501}$ | s Washington st | $\xrightarrow{\text { Riverwood Dr }}$ E Disenver Ave |  | Signal | Yes | x | ${ }^{17,118}$ | Count | Divid | Yes | Low | $\stackrel{\text { LTTR }}{\text { Lit }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LTTR }}{\text { LTTR }}$ | Protected | $\stackrel{L R}{L T R}$ | $\stackrel{\text { LTR }}{\text { LTR }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Pempled }}^{\substack{\text { Perrited } \\ \text { Proded }}}$ | No | Yes | No No | Yes | No No |  | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| 846.01 | 846.01 | 53 r | Santa Fe Ave |  | Thru |  | T | 1,198 | Count | ded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | No | No |  | No | No |  |  |
| ${ }^{846.02}$ | 846.01 | s 3rd St | E Wachter Ave | mark | Thu-STOP | Yes | $\times$ | 718 | Count |  |  | Low | T | T |  | T | T |  | Yes | No | No | Yes | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| 846.03 <br> 846.04 | ${ }^{846.01}$ |  | EDenver Ave | ${ }^{\text {Bismarck }}$ | Thr-STop | Yes | ${ }^{\times}$ | 7.898 | Count | Undivided | Yes |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { TTR }}{ }$ | Permited | TTL | TTL | Permited |  | No | No |  | No No |  | No | Yes | No |  |
| 847.01 | 847.01 | Mills Ave | Langer Ln | Bismarck | Roundabout |  |  | 0 | Count |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 347.02 | 847.02 | E Denver Ave | University Dr | Bisma | nal | Yes |  | 1.630 |  | Undivided | Yes | ? | TTL | TT | mitted | RL |  | Permited | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| ${ }^{348.01}$ | ${ }^{848.01}$ | Airport Rd | University Dr | Bismarck |  | Yes |  | 14,860 | Count | Undivided | Yes | High | ${ }_{T}^{T T R}$ | TTL | Permited | $\stackrel{\text { RL }}{\text { T }}$ |  | Permited | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | No | No | No |
| 848.02 <br> 888.03 | ${ }^{848.01}$ | Airport Rd | Airmave Ave | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | Yes | + | 8,908 | Count | Undivied | Yes | Low | TTL | TTL |  | TTL | TTL | Permited | No | No | Yes | No | No No |  | No | No | No |  |
|  | ${ }^{849.01}$ |  | Santa F Fe Ave |  | Thrustop |  |  |  | Count | Undivided |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No | No | No |  | No |  |  |  |  |  |
| 849.02 | 849.01 | S 12th St | University Dr | Bismarck | Signal |  | $\times$ | 15,398 | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | TTL | TTL |  | TL | TL | Permited | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | Yes | No | Yes |
|  | 849.01 | s 121t st | E Bismarck Expy | Bismarck | Signal |  | x | 27,070 | count |  | Yes | High | TTL | TTL |  | TL | TL |  | No | No | No | Yes | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
|  | 850.01 | Ewachier Ave | University Pr | Bismarck | Signal | Yes | - | ${ }^{13,218}$ | Count | Undivided | Yes | Low | TTL | TTL | Permited | T | T | Permited | No | No | Yes | Yes | No |  | No | Yes | No | No |
| 55.51 | ${ }^{60501}$ | Auriegh Ave | Unverstit or | Bismarck | Thus STop | Nos |  |  |  |  |  | High | TT | T |  |  |  |  | No | No | No | No | No |  | No | es | No |  |
| ${ }_{\text {8 }}^{855.02}$ | ${ }_{855.01}^{8501}$ | Airavay $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ave } \\ & \text { Arway Ave }\end{aligned}$ | Univesisly Pd | Bismarck | Thru-SToP | No | T | 10,008 5,018 | Count | Undivided |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { High }}$ | T | $T$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { Tr }}{ }$ |  |  | No | No No | No | $\xrightarrow{\text { No }}$ | No |  | $\stackrel{\text { No }}{ }$ | No | No | No |
| ${ }^{855.04}$ | ${ }^{855.01}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { Yegen } \mathrm{Rd}}$ Linoon | $\frac{\text { E Bismarck Expy }}{\text { Ouail }}$ | Bismarck | Stignal | Yes | x | 14,433 | Count | Undivied |  | High | TT | LT | Permited | $\frac{\text { LR }}{\text { T }}$ |  | Prote | No | No | Yes | $\frac{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ | $\frac{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ |  | No | $\frac{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ | $\frac{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ | $\frac{\text { No }}{\text { No }}$ |


| ${ }^{17 t}$ | Segment\# | Local Name | Cross Street | city | ${ }_{\text {Years of }}^{\substack{\text { Y } \\ \text { Data }}}$ | ${ }_{\text {cotal }}^{\text {Trashes }}$ | SevereCrashes | Right Angle Crashes |  |  | Diagram - Severe Crashes ONLY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Road Condition - Sev OnLY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Sev } \\ \text { RA } \end{gathered}$ | Severer <br> Right | K $\begin{array}{llllll} & \text { a }\end{array}$ | Rear End | Sidessipe Passing | Angle (Opposite Direction) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Single } \\ & \text { veh } \end{aligned}$ | Right Angle | Angle (Same Direction) | Angle (Not Specifici) | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Head } \\ \text { On }}}$ | sidessipe opoosing | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rear-to- } \\ \text { Rear } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Rear-to } \\ \text { Side }}}^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Dry |  | Snowl Slush |  |
| 800.01 | ${ }_{80100}^{\text {oun }}$ | Astrewat | U5.500\| (rate st | Bısmatck |  |  |  | O\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{801.02}^{80100}$ | ${ }_{801.01}^{801.01}$ |  | valey 3 rd 5 St W | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Bismmarck } \\ \text { Bismark }}}^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | $\stackrel{2}{17}$ | 0 | 12\% |  | ${ }_{15}^{2}$ |  | . | . | . | - |  |  | - | . |  |  | - | - - |  |  |  |  |
| -800.03 | 801.02 | 4 4Td Ave NE | US 5000 (stale st | Bاsmarck |  | ${ }^{24}$ |  | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (801.04 | ${ }^{801.02}$ | 43rd Ave NE 43 ra Ave NE |  | Bismarck | 5 | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | \%\% |  | $\therefore$ i 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  | - |  |
| 800.06 | 801.02 | 43 d Ave NE | Centennial Rd | Bismarck | 5 | 14 |  | 0\% | 2 | 1 |  | - |  | . | 2 |  | - | . | - |  |  | 2. | - |  | 1 |  |  |
| 802.01 | 802.01 | No Name | U55000 (stale st | Bsmarck | 5 | 2 | 0 | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{803.02}^{80.01}$ | ${ }^{8030101}$ | Calagay $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cave } \\ & \text { Calage }\end{aligned}$ | Nwashingon | Sismarck | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | 1 | 0 | 0\% |  | ${ }^{2} \cdot 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - . | - - |  |  |  |  |
| 803.03 | 883.01 | Calgary Ave | US 5000 (state St) | Bismarck | 5 | 27 | 0 | 0\% |  | $\begin{array}{lll}5 & 1 & 21\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 803.04 | 803.01 | Calgary Ave | N 19 th St | Bismarck | 5 | 5 | 0 | \%\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{80404} 8$ | ${ }_{804.01}^{804.01}$ | Dayonaur | countrywestra Valley Dr | Sismarck | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0\% |  | - . |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - . | - |  |  |  |  |
| 3050.9 | 805.01 | County Westrad | Tyer PRwy | Bsmarck | ${ }_{5}$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 805.02 | 805.01 | Country West Rd | w centur Ave | Bismarck | 5 | ${ }^{12}$ | 1 | 0\% |  | 10 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | . | . |  |  | - | 1. |  |  |  |  |
| -800.01 | ${ }^{800.01}$ | Valey or | Tyer prwy | $\underset{\substack{\text { Blsmarck } \\ \text { Blsmark }}}{ }$ | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | ${ }_{0}^{3}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 807.01 | 807.01 |  |  | Bismarck | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{8}^{808.02}$ | ${ }_{808.01}^{80.01}$ | Burnt boat Dr | Tyler R kny | Sismarck | 5 | 55 | 1 | 0\% |  | $10{ }_{10}{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| ${ }_{8}^{809.09}$ | ${ }_{809001}^{80.01}$ | W W Century Ave |  | Sismarck | 5 | ${ }^{24}$ | 1 | \%\% |  | $\begin{array}{llll}2 \\ 2 & 1 & 20 \\ 7 & 2 & 8 \\ 5\end{array}$ |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 809.03 | ${ }^{80901}$ | W Centur Ave | N Wastingtonst | Bismarck | 5 | ${ }_{66}^{10}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0\% |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{809.09}$ | ${ }_{8}^{809.01}$ | (eCentur Ave | ${ }_{\text {N }}^{\text {N } 41 \text { tht }}$ St | Silismarck | 5 5 5 | 46 54 | 1 | 0\% |  | 1 5 13 27 <br> $-\quad 4$ 9 41  <br>     |  |  |  | : | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1:$ |  |  |  |  |
| (809.06 | ${ }^{809.01}$ |  | US 5000 (State St) | ${ }^{\text {Bismarck }}$ | 5 | ${ }_{1}^{54}$ | 0 | 0\% |  | 14 21 102 <br> 1   |  | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{8090.08}$ | ${ }_{809.01}^{80.01}$ | ECentury Ave | Nebraska Dr | Bismarck | ${ }_{7}^{6}$ | 23 <br> 3 | $\bigcirc$ | \%\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -809.09 | ${ }^{800.02}$ | ECernury Ave | Centennatra | Bismarck | 8 | 27 | 2 | 0\% |  | $5 \quad 16$ |  | - | . | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1. | 1. |  | 1 |  |  |
| ${ }_{810.02}$ | ${ }_{810.01}^{810.01}$ | EInterstate Ave | N N (th St | Bismarck | $\stackrel{9}{10}$ | 21 15 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0\% |  | $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 19 \\ 1 & 1 & 13\end{array}$ |  |  |  | : | : |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 810.03 81005 | 810.01 81001 | E Elnestate Ave | Cateway Ave | Sismarck | 11 | 25 | 0 | 0\% |  | 5 - 20 |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{8}^{810.07}$ | ${ }_{810.01}^{810.01}$ | Weiss Ave | US 50000 (State St) | Sismarck | 13 <br> 15 <br> 15 | 8 <br> 41 <br> 8 | ${ }_{1}^{0}$ | 0\% |  |  5 8 <br> 7 5 28 |  | : |  | : | : |  | ; | : |  |  |  | 1. | - |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{8} 810.08$ | ${ }_{810.02}^{810.02}$ | Etrestatate Ave | U35000 (state st | Sismarck | ${ }^{16}$ | ${ }^{103}$ | 1 | 0\% |  | ${ }^{14}{ }^{72}$ |  | , |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1. |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 811.01 | 81 | Foontage Ra | U55000(stale st | Bsmarck | ${ }^{18}$ | ${ }_{12}^{12}$ | 0 | 0\% |  | 3 -1  <br>   7 |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{813.02}$ | ${ }_{813.01}^{813.01}$ | Schaterst | College dr | Sismarck | 19 | ${ }^{124}$ | 2 | \%\% |  | $\begin{array}{llll}25 & 7 & 90 \\ & \end{array}$ | 1 |  |  |  | - |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1. |  | 2 |  |  |
| 813.03 813.04 | ${ }_{\substack{813.01 \\ 813.01}}$ | College Dr College Dr | W Ward Rd |  | ${ }_{22}^{21}$ | ${ }_{14}^{4}$ | 0 | \%\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{814001}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 814.02 814.83 | ${ }_{\text {814, }}^{814.01}$ | W Turnipe Ave ETumpiki Ave | N Wassington $N$ Sth St |  | ${ }_{25}^{24}$ | [ ${ }_{5}^{33}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0\% |  | $\begin{array}{llll}6 & 5 & 22 \\ 1 & 5 & 4\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 815.01 | 815.01 | E Capito Ave | N4th St | Bismarck | ${ }^{26}$ | 4 | 0 | 0\% |  | $1{ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | - | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 815.02 | ${ }_{\text {815 }}^{815.01}$ |  |  | Bismarck | ${ }_{28}^{27}$ | - | ${ }_{1}^{0}$ | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  | i |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 . | 1 |  |  |  |
| 815.54 <br> 81505 | 815.01 | E Capito Ave | Statest | Bismarck | ${ }^{29}$ | ${ }^{91}$ | 3 | \%\% |  | $12 \quad 14 \quad 62$ |  | - | - | - | - |  | ${ }^{3}$ | - |  |  |  | 2. | 1. | 2 |  |  |  |
| - 816.01 | 816.01 | N 12 th St | State st (South) | Bismarck | 31 | 4 | 0 | 0\% |  | - 3 |  | - | - | . | - |  |  | - |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 816.02 | ${ }^{816.02}$ | N 12 th St | State st (North) | Bismarck | ${ }^{32}$ | 67 | 0 | 0\% |  | $11 \quad 50$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{817.01}{818.01}$ | ${ }_{\text {818, }}^{818.01}$ | ${ }_{\text {N }} \mathrm{N}$ 22hnst ${ }^{\text {2nd }}$ | E Bouliverava Ave | ${ }_{\text {Bismarck }}^{\text {Bismark }}$ | ${ }^{33}$ | 2 | 0 | 0\% |  | $\because 1.1$ |  |  |  | - | - |  |  | , |  |  |  | $\div$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |
| 818.02 | ${ }^{818.01}$ | N22nd St | EDivide Ave | Bismarck | 35 | 9 | 0 | 0\% |  | - ${ }^{-1} 8$ |  |  |  | . | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{819.02}$ | ${ }_{819.01}^{81901}$ | Valeenvew Ave $N 315$ Ave | ${ }_{\text {crous Ave }}{ }^{\text {N26t St }}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { ber }}}_{\substack{\text { Bismarck } \\ \text { Bismarck }}}$ | ${ }_{37}^{36}$ | ${ }_{0}^{3}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0\% |  | $\therefore: 1{ }^{2}$ | . | . | - | . | . |  | - | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 819.03 | 899.01 | Crocus Ave | N 3 3th St | Bismarck | 38 | 1 | 0 | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{820.01}$ | ${ }^{820.01}$ | Ward ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | W Ave C/ N Sififin | Bismarck | 39 | 15 | 0 | 0\% |  | $\cdots{ }^{-13}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 821.02 | ${ }_{821.01}$ | N 16 th St | E ERossera Ave | Bismarck | ${ }_{41}$ | ${ }_{24}$ | 1 | 0\% |  | $2{ }_{2} 4^{4} 17$ |  | - |  | - |  |  | 1 |  | - |  |  | 1 - | - |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{8221.03} 8$ | 821.01 821.01 |  | EAve C | Siserck | ${ }_{43}^{42}$ | +13 | $\bigcirc$ | \%\% |  |  |  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{822.05}$ | 821.01 | N 16 th St | E Boulvard Ave | Bismarck | 44 | 10 | 0 | 0\% |  | - 10 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{8221.06} 8$ | ${ }^{821.01} 8{ }^{82.01}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{N} 16 \mathrm{thst}}{\mathrm{N} \text { ¢thst }}$ | E EDivide Ave | Bismarck |  | $\frac{13}{7}$ |  | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{822.02}$ | 822.01 | N 6th st | EThayer Ave | Bismarck | 47 | 4 | 0 | 0\% |  | - - ${ }^{4}$ | - | - |  | ; | - |  | - | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{8223.01}$ | ${ }^{823.01}$ | N N bell l St | ERosser Ave |  | ${ }_{49}^{49}$ |  |  | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 823.02 | 823.01 | N Bell St | W Ave C | Bismarck | 50 | 1 | 0 | 0\% |  | - - 1 | . | . | . | . | . |  | . | . |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{824.01} 8$ | ${ }_{82240}^{82401}$ | W Boulevarat Ave |  | Sismarck | ${ }_{52}^{51}$ | ${ }_{21}^{17}$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | \%\% |  | [18 |  |  |  | . | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 824.03 | ${ }^{824.01}$ | EBoulevard Ave | N thit St | Bismarck | ${ }^{53}$ | 0 | 0 | \% |  | - - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| - 828.404 | ${ }^{824.01}$ | E Eoulevard Ave |  |  | 54 55 54 | 30 30 50 | $\bigcirc$ | 0\% |  | 2   <br> 3 $\overline{6}$ 28 <br>  46  | - | - |  | - |  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{824.06}$ | ${ }_{8}^{824.02}$ | E Eoolevard Ave |  | Bismarck | ${ }_{57}^{56}$ | ${ }_{5}^{50}$ | 1 | 0\% |  | $\begin{array}{llll}4 & 4 & 41\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | , |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{82501}$ | ${ }^{824020}$ | Eboulevard Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 8 825.02 | ${ }^{8255.01}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N} 4 \mathrm{th} \text { St }}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { bismarck }}}^{\substack{\text { Bismarck }}}$ | ${ }_{59}^{58}$ | ${ }_{3}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0\% |  | ${ }_{3}^{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{825.03} 8$ | ${ }^{825.01}$ | N4th |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Bismarck } \\ \text { Bismark }}}{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | ${ }_{61}^{60}$ | 17 | 0 | 0\% |  | - $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 3 & 13 \\ - & 1 & 2 & 10\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 825.05 | 825.01 | N 4 th St | EDivide Ave | Bismarck | 62 | 20 | 0 | 0\% |  | $\begin{array}{llll}2 & 1 & 17\end{array}$ |  | . |  | . |  |  | - | . | - |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| 825.06 | 825.01 | Dominion St | Dominion St | Bismarck |  |  |  | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Burleigh County Urban Pedestrian/Bike Project Corridors

| Corridor | Local Roadway | Adv Walk | Countdown | Cost |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 83.01 | US 5000 (State St) | 5 | 3 | $\$$ | 30,000 |
| 83.03 | N 7th St | 5 | 5 | $\$$ | 50,000 |
| 83.04 | N 9th St | 5 | 5 | $\$$ | 50,000 |
| 194.01 | Bismarck Exp | 8 | 8 | $\$$ | 80,000 |
| 809.01 | Century Ave | 5 | 5 | $\$$ | 50,000 |
| 815.01 | E Capital Ave | 2 | 8 | $\$$ | 80,000 |
| 833.01 | Front Ave | 2 | 2 | $\$$ | 20,000 |
| 834.01 | E Broadway Ave | 3 | 3 | $\$$ | 30,000 |
| 836.01 | Rosser Ave | 5 | 5 | $\$$ | 50,000 |
| 845.01 | Washington St | 2 | 2 | $\$$ | 20,000 |
| 845.02 | Washington St | 7 | 7 | $\$$ | 70,000 |


| Burleigh County Ped/Bike Corridor Analysis |  |  |  | Criteria |  |  |  |  | Major Speed | High Priority Corridor Candidate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Signal | $\begin{gathered} \text { Greater than } \\ 15,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes | $\begin{gathered} \text { Greater than } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Int \# | $\underset{\#}{\text { Segment }}$ Local Name | Cross Street | City | Traffic Control Device | Entering ADT | Development/ <br> Ped Generator | Total | Severe |  |  |
| 800.01 | 800.01 Ottawa St | US 5000 (State St) | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 9360 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 801.01 | 801.01 Ash Coulee Dr | Valley Dr | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 3810 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 801.02 | 801.01 43rd Ave NE | 3rd St NW | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 9685 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 801.03 | 801.02 43rd Ave NE | US 5000 (State St) | Bismarck | Signal | 13725 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 801.04 | 801.02 43rd Ave NE | Frontage Rd | Bismarck | Yield | 4310 | No | 0 | 0 | High |  |
| 801.05 | 801.02 43rd Ave NE | N 19th St | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 6740 | No | 0 | 0 | High |  |
| 801.06 | 801.02 43rd Ave NE | Centennial Rd | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 7593 | No | 0 | 0 | High |  |
| 802.01 | 802.01 No Name | US 5000 (State St) | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 7808 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 803.01 | 803.01 Calgary Ave | N Washington St | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 10315 | No | 1 | 0 | Low |  |
| 803.02 | 803.01 Calgary Ave | No Name | Bismarck | Uncontrolled | 4680 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 803.03 | 803.01 Calgary Ave | US 5000 (State St) | Bismarck | Signal | 12535 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 803.04 | 803.01 Calgary Ave | N 19th St | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 5873 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 804.01 | 804.01 Daytona Dr | Country West Rd | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 2330 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 804.02 | 804.01 Daytona Dr | Valley Dr | Bismarck | Yield | 2250 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 805.01 | 805.01 Country West Rd | Tyler Pkwy | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 4343 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 805.02 | 805.01 Country West Rd | W Century Ave | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 12123 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 806.01 | 806.01 Valley Dr | Tyler Pkwy | Bismarck | Yield | 2253 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 807.01 | 807.01 Clairmont Rd | Burnt Boat Dr | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 5403 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 808.01 | 808.01 Burnt Boat Dr | River Rd | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 3415 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 808.02 | 808.01 Burnt Boat Dr | Tyler Pkwy | Bismarck | Signal | 22280 | Yes | 1 | 0 | Low |  |
| 809.01 | 809.01 W Century Ave | Tyler Pkwy | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 13685 | Yes | 1 | 0 | Low |  |
| 809.02 | 809.01 W Century Ave | W Interstate Ave | Bismarck | Signal | 13670 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 809.03 | 809.01 W Century Ave | N Washington St | Bismarck | Signal | 24535 | Yes | 2 | 0 | Low |  |
| 809.04 | 809.01 E Century Ave | N 4th St | Bismarck | Signal | 19765 | Yes | 1 | 0 | Low |  |
| 809.05 | 809.01 E Century Ave | N 11th St | Bismarck | Signal | 22255 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low | YES |
| 809.06 | 809.01 E Century Ave | US 5000 (State St) | Bismarck | Signal | 28650 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 809.07 | 809.01 E Century Ave | N 19th St | Bismarck | Signal | 9674 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 809.08 | 809.01 E Century Ave | Nebraska Dr | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 4998 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 809.09 | 809.02 E Century Ave | Centennial Rd | Bismarck | Signal | 18668 | Unknown | 1 | 0 | Unknown |  |
| 810.01 | 810.01 W Interstate Ave | N Washington St | Bismarck | Signal | 17980 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 810.02 | 810.01 E Interstate Ave | N 4 th St | Bismarck | Signal | 11968 | No | 1 | 0 | Low |  |
| 810.03 | 810.01 E Interstate Ave | Gateway Ave | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 12225 | Unknown | 0 | 0 | Unknown |  |
| 810.05 | 810.01 N 11th St | Weiss Ave | Bismarck | Yield | 8118 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 810.07 | 810.01 Weiss Ave | US 5000 (State St) | Bismarck | Signal | 17003 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 810.08 | 810.02 E Interstate Ave | US 5000 (State St) | Bismarck | Signal | 24580 | Yes | 2 | 0 | Low |  |
| 810.09 | 810.02 E Interstate Ave | N 19th St | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 12210 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low | YES |
| 811.01 | 811.01 Frontage Rd | US 5000 (State St) | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 15785 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 813.01 | 813.01 Schafer St | W Divide Ave | Bismarck | Signal | 24758 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 813.02 | 813.01 Schafer St | College Dr | Bismarck | All-way STOP | 7530 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 813.03 | 813.01 College Dr | Ward Rd | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 8190 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 813.04 | 813.01 College Dr | W Divide Ave | Bismarck | Signal | 14738 | Yes | 2 | 0 | Low |  |
| 814.01 | 814.01 W Turnpike Ave | W Divide Ave | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 13998 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 814.02 | 814.01 W Turnpike Ave | N Washington St | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 16563 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 814.03 | 814.01 E Turnpike Ave | N 4th St | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 10218 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 815.01 | 815.01 E Capitol Ave | N 4 th St | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 9285 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 815.02 | 815.01 E Capitol Ave | N 12th St (West) | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 5060 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 815.03 | 815.01 E Capitol Ave | N 12 th St (East) | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 5110 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low | YES |
| 815.04 | 815.01 E Capitol Ave | State St | Bismarck | Signal | 17925 | Yes | 1 | 0 | Low |  |
| 815.05 | 815.01 E Capitol Ave | N 19th St | Bismarck | Signal | 12125 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 816.01 | 816.01 N 12th St | State St (South) | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 13033 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 816.02 | 816.02 N 12 th St | State St (North) | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 15433 | Yes | 1 | 0 | Low |  |
| 817.01 | 817.01 N 26th St | E Divide Ave | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 4830 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 818.01 | 818.01 N 22nd St | E Boulevard Ave | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 2490 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 818.02 | 818.01 N 22nd St | E Divide Ave | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 11895 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 819.01 | 819.01 Valleyview Ave | N 26th St | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 8713 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 819.02 | 819.01 N 31st Ave | Crocus Ave | Bismarck | Uncontrolled | 1785 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 819.03 | 819.01 Crocus Ave | N 35th St | Bismarck | Uncontrolled | 1350 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 820.01 | 820.01 Ward Rd | W Ave C / N Griffin St | Bismarck | Signal | 8970 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 821.01 | 821.01 N 16th St | E Broadway Ave | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 8260 | Yes | 1 | 0 | Low |  |
| 821.02 | 821.01 N 16th St | E Rosser Ave | Bismarck | All-way STOP | 10633 | No | 1 | 0 | Low |  |
| 821.03 | 821.01 N 16 th St | EAve C | Bismarck | All-way STOP | 8013 | No | 1 | 0 | Low |  |
| 821.04 | 821.01 N 16th St | E Ave D | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 7053 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 821.05 | 821.01 N 16th St | E Boulevard Ave | Bismarck | All-way STOP | 8578 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 821.06 | 821.01 N 16th St | E Divide Ave | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 11363 | No | 1 | 0 | Low |  |
| 822.01 | 822.01 N 6th St | E Broadway Ave | Bismarck | All-way STOP | 5875 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 822.02 | 822.01 N 6th St | E Thayer Ave | Bismarck | Unknown | 3128 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 822.03 | 822.01 N 6th St | E Rosser Ave | Bismarck | Signal | 11415 | Yes | 3 | 1 | Low |  |
| 823.01 | 823.01 N Bell St | W Rosser Ave | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 6108 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 823.02 | 823.01 N Bell St | W Ave C | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 2400 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 824.01 | 824.01 W Boulevard Ave | N Washington St | Bismarck | Signal | 17543 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 824.02 | 824.01 W Boulevard Ave | N 3rd St | Bismarck | Signal | 10600 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 824.03 | 824.01 E Boulevard Ave | N 4th St | Bismarck | Signal | 0 | No | 0 | 0 | Low | YES |
| 824.04 | 824.01 E Boulevard Ave | N 7th St | Bismarck | Signal | 19203 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 824.05 | 824.01 E Boulevard Ave | N 9th St | Bismarck | Signal | 19840 | Yes | 3 | 0 | Low |  |
| 824.06 | 824.02 E Boulevard Ave | State St | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 16910 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 824.07 | 824.02 E Boulevard Ave | N 26th St | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 8163 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 825.01 | 825.01 N 4th St | E Broadway Ave | Bismarck | All-way STOP | 5688 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 825.02 | 825.01 N 4th St | E Thayer Ave | Bismarck | All-way STOP | 4328 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 825.03 | 825.01 N 4th St | E Rosser Ave | Bismarck | Signal | 12410 | Yes | 2 | 0 | Low |  |
| 825.04 | 825.01 N 4th St | E Ave C | Bismarck | Signal | 11375 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 825.05 | 825.01 N 4th St | E Divide Ave | Bismarck | Signal | 15333 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 825.06 | 825.01 Dominion St | Dominion St | Bismarck | Uncontrolled | 2610 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 826.01 | 826.01 N 19 th St | E Divide Ave | Bismarck | Signal | 15285 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 828.01 | 828.01 N 35th St | E Rosser Ave | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 4698 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 828.02 | 828.01 N 35th St | E Divide Ave | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 4540 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 829.01 | 829.01 W Arbor Ave | S Washington St | Bismarck | Signal | 16508 | Yes | 2 | 0 | Low |  |
| 829.02 | 829.01 River Rd | Fraine Barracks Rd | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 2298 | No | 0 | 0 | Low | YES |
| 830.01 | 830.02 W Divide Ave | N Washington St | Bismarck | Signal | 25898 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 830.02 | 830.02 E Divide Ave | State St | Bismarck | Signal | 22765 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 830.03 | 830.03 E Divide Ave | N 26th St | Bismarck | Signal | 11545 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 830.04 | 830.03 E Divide Ave | E Bismarck Expy | Bismarck | Signal | 14418 | Yes | 0 | 0 | Low |  |
| 830.05 | 830.03 Divide Ave | $N$ 52nd St | Bismarck | Thru-STOP | 2228 | No | 0 | 0 | Low |  |




| HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HSIP) PROJECT APPLICATION <br> North Dakota Department of Transportation Programming SFN 59959 (06-2011) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Please attach | Agency N <br> Contact N <br> Email Add <br> ation map(s). Y | ntersecti <br> of Bismarck k Berg erg@nd.gov <br> e additional sheets | Pedest on N 9th <br> er describe your | and Bicy <br> St fro <br> project. | Ie Intersection <br> E Boul <br> ND <br> Teleph | mprovements <br> vard Ave <br> DOT District: one Number: | nue to <br> 701-355-1 | ront |  |  |  |
| Location Description |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \text { h St } \\ & \text { an } \\ & \text { eigh } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | SHSP Emp <br> Reduce Alc increase the Younger Dri Curb Aggre mprovemen Enhancing Improve Int | sis Area (ch <br> Impaired se of Safety r/Older Driv ve Driving to Address ergency Me ection Safet | all that apply ing straints for all Safety <br> e Departure al Capabilities | Occupants <br> rashes <br> to Increase |  |
| Describe Proposed Safety Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection ID | Street Name | Cross Street | Taffic Control | Enterting ADT | Development / Ped Generator | Total Ped/Bike Crashes | Advanced Walk | Countdown Timers | Curb <br> Exntensions | Median Refuge Island | Notes |
| 824.05 | N 9th St | E Boulevard Ave | Signal | 19,840 | Yes | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 831.05 | N 9th St | E Ave C | Signal | 17,033 | Yes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 836.05 | N 9th St | E Rosser Ave | Signal | 20,910 | Yes | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 834.05 | N 9th St | E Broadway Ave | Signal | 17,413 | Yes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 833.05 | S 9th St | E Front Ave | Signal | 14,928 | Yes | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |


$\qquad$




| HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HSIP) PROJECT APPLICATION <br> North Dakota Department of Transportation Programming SFN 59959 (06-2011) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Please attach | Agency Na Contact N Email Addr ation map(s). | Inter <br> of Bismarck Berg <br> g@nd.gov <br> additional shee | Pedest <br> ons on <br> er describe your | and Bicy <br> Front <br> project. | le Intersection <br> Ave from <br> ND <br> Teleph | mprovements <br> S 3rd S <br> DOT District: one Number: | to S 701-355-15 | th St |  |  |  |
| Location Description |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ave <br> h |  |  |  |  | SHSP Emp <br> Reduce Alc <br> ncrease the <br> Younger Dri <br> Curb Aggre <br> mprovemen <br> Enhancing <br> Improve Int | sis Area (ch <br> I Impaired D se of Safety r/Older Drive ve Driving to Address ergency Me ection Safety | all that apply ing straints for all afety <br> e Departure Capabilities | Occupants <br> rashes <br> to Increase |  |
| Describe Proposed Safety Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection ID | Street Name | Cross Street | Taffic Control | Enterting ADT | Development / Ped Generator | Total Ped/Bike Crashes | Advanced Walk | Countdown Timers | Curb <br> Exntensions | Median Refuge Island | Notes |
| 833.02 | E Front Ave | S 3rd St | Signal | 16,088 | Yes | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 833.03 | E Front Ave | S 5th St | Signal | 8,288 | Yes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 833.06 | E Front Ave | S 12th St | Thru-STOP | 8,795 | Yes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

Describe Current Safety Issues \& Systemic Ranking Review



## HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HSIP) PROJECT APPLICATION <br> North Dakota Department of Transportation Programming

 SFN 59959 (06-2011)Pedestrian and Bicycle Intersection Improvements
Intersections on E Rosser Ave from N 3rd St to 35th St

Agency Name: City of Bismarck
Contact Name: Mark Berg
ND DOT District: 1
Telephone Number: 701-355-1529

SHSP Emphasis Area (check all that apply)
$\square$ Reduce Alcohol Impaired Driving
$\square$ Increase the Use of Safety Restraints for all Occupants
$\square$ Younger Driver/OIder Driver Safety
$\square$ Curb Aggressive Driving
$\square$ Improvements to Address Lane Departure Crashes
Enhancing Emergency Medical Capabilities to Increase
Improve Intersection Safety

| Describe Proposed Safety Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Intersection } \\ \text { ID } \end{array}$ | Street Name | Cross Street | Taffic Control | Enterting ADT | Development/ <br> Ped Generator | Total Ped/Bike Crashes | Advanced Walk | Countdown Timers | Curb <br> Exntensions | Median Refuge Island | Notes |
| 836.02 | E Rosser Ave | N 3rd St | Signal | 16,545 | No | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 825.03 | N 4 th St | E Rosser Ave | Signal | 12,410 | Yes | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 836.03 | E Rosser Ave | N 5th St | Signal | 13,525 | No | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 822.03 | N 6th St | E Rosser Ave | Signal | 11,415 | Yes | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 836.06 | E Rosser Ave | N 12th St | Thru-STOP | 7,915 | No | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 821.02 | N 16th St | E Rosser Ave | All-way STOP | 10,633 | No | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 836.07 | E Rosser Ave | N 26th St | Signal | 13,960 | Yes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 836.08 | E Rosser Ave | Eastdale Dr | Thru-STOP | 5,465 | No | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 828.01 | N 35th St | E Rosser Ave | Thru-STOP | 4,698 | No | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

Describe Current Safety Issues \& Systemic Ranking Review
North Dakota Crashes 2008-2012 5 years

|  | Intersection Criteria |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Control Device | Signal |
| Entering ADT | $>15,000$ |
| Development / Ped Generator | Yes |
| Total Ped/Bike Crashes | $>0$ |


| Description | Unit Cost | Quanity | Total Cost |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advanced Walk | $\$ 0$ per intersection | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Countdown Timers | $\$ 10,000$ per intersection | 5 | $\$ 50,000$ |
| Curb Extensions | $\$ 15,000$ per corner | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Median Refuge Island | $\$ 10,000$ per side | 0 | $\$ 0$ |


$\qquad$



## Burleigh County Urban Right Angle Crash Project Corridors

| Corridor | Local Street Name | Access Mgmt (miles) | Confirmation Lights | Cost |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 194.01 | Bismarck Exp | 0 | 9 | $\$$ | 18,000 |
| 809.01 | Century Ave | 3.9 | 6 | $\$$ | $1,180,000$ |
| 810.01 | Interstate Ave | 0 | 2 | $\$$ | 4,000 |
| 810.02 | Interstate Ave | 0 | 2 | $\$$ | 4,000 |
| 815.01 | Capital Ave | 0 | 2 | $\$, 000$ |  |
| 845.01 | Washington St | 0 | 2 | $\$$ | 4,000 |




HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HSIP) PROJECT APPLICATION
North Dakota Department of Transportation Programming SFN 59959 (06-2011)

Right Angle Crashes @ Signals Intersection Improvements
Intersections on Bismarck Expressway from S Washington St to Century Ave

Agency Name: City of Bismarck
Contact Name: Mark Berg
Email Address: maberg@nd.gov

ND DOT District: 1
Telephone Number: 701-355-1529
20

SHSP Emphasis Area (check all that apply)
Corridor 194.01
eet Name Bismarck Expressway
Urban/Rural: Urban

| $\square$ | Reduce Alcohol Impaired Driving |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | Increase the Use of Safety Restraints for all Occupants |
| $\square$ | Younger Driver/OIder Driver Safety |
| $\square$ | Curb Aggressive Driving |
| $\square$ | Improvements to Address Lane Departure Crashes |
| $\square$ | Enhancing Emergency Medical Capabilities to Increase |
| $\square$ | Improve Intersection Safety | Curb Aggressive Driving Enhancing Emergency Medical Capabilities to Increas Improve Intersection Safety


| Describe Proposed Safety Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Intersection } \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Street Name | Cross Street | Config | Taffic Control | Enterting ADT | Major Config | Severe Crashes | Severe RA Crashes | Confirmation Lights | Notes |
| 845.04 | S Washington St | E Bismarck Expy | X | Signal | 37,418 | Divided | 2 |  | 1 | - |
| 846.04 | S 3rd St | E Bismarck Expy | X | Signal | 31,830 | Undivided | 0 | 0 | 1 | - |
| 849.03 | S 12th St | E Bismarck Expy | X | Signal | 27,070 | Undivided | 0 | 0 | 1 | - |
| 848.03 | Airport Rd | E Bismarck Expy | X | Signal | 26,465 | Undivided | 0 | 0 | 1 | - |
| 837.02 | S 26th St | E Bismarck Expy | X | Signal | 16,495 | Undivided | 0 | 0 | 1 | - |
| 855.04 | Yegen Rd | E Bismarck Expy | X | Signal | 14,433 | Undivided | 0 | 0 | 1 | - |
| 836.09 | E Rosser Ave | E Bismarck Expy | X | Signal | 11,085 | Undivided | 0 | 0 | 1 | - |
| 830.04 | E Divide Ave | E Bismarck Expy | X | Signal | 14,418 | Div/Undiv | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| 809.09 | E Century Ave | Centennial Rd | X | Signal | 18,668 | Unknown | 1 | 0 | 1 | - |

Describe Current Safety Issues \& Systemic Ranking Review
North Dakota Crashes 2008-2012 5 years

|  | Intersection Criteria |
| :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Control Device | Signal |
| Entering ADT | $>15,000$ |
| Development / Ped | $<30,000$ |
| Generator | Yes |
| Total Ped/Bike Crashe | $>0$ |


| Description | Unit Cost | Quanity | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Confirmation Lights | $\$ 2,000$ per intersection | 9 | $\$ 18,000$ |
| Unsignalized and Divided Access |  |  |  |
| Management |  |  |  |$\quad \$ 300,000$ per mile $\quad 0.0$| $\$ 0$ |
| :---: |

Project Cost Estimate (attach detailed copy)

## Proposed Year of Construction

| Federal Funds | $\$ 16,200$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| Local Match (10\% of Total project cost) | $\$ 1,800$ |
| Total Project Cost | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 0 0 0}$ |

NDDOT Central Office Only


HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HSIP) PROJECT APPLICATION
North Dakota Department of Transportation Programming SFN 59959 (06-2011)

Right Angle Crashes @ Signals Intersection Improvements

## Intersections on W Century Ave from Tyler Pkwy to Hamilton St

Agency Name: City of Bismarck
Contact Name: Mark Berg
Email Address: maberg@nd.gov

Please attach a location map(s). You may use additional sheets to further describe your project.
Location Description


Describe Current Safety Issues \& Systemic Ranking Review
North Dakota Crashes 2008-2012 5 years

|  | Intersection Criteria |
| :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Control Device | Signal |
| Entering ADT | $>15,000$ |
| Development / Ped | $<30,000$ |
| Generator | Yes |
| Total Ped/Bike Crashe | $>0$ |


| Description | Unit Cost | Quanity | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Confirmation Lights | $\$ 2,000$ per intersection | 5 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Unsignalized and Divided Access | $\$ 300,000$ per mile | 3.9 | $\$ 1,170,000$ |
| Management |  |  | $\$ 1,180,000$ |

Project Cost Estimate (attach detailed copy)

| Page: |
| :---: |
| Intersection ID: |
| Date: |

HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HSIP) PROJECT APPLICATION
North Dakota Department of Transportation Programming SFN 59959 (06-2011)

Right Angle Crashes @ Signals Intersection Improvements

## Intersections on W Interstate Ave from Century Ave to State St

Agency Name: City of Bismarck
Contact Name: Mark Berg
Email Address: maberg@nd.gov
Please attach a location map(s). You may use additional sheets to further describe your project.
Location Description

Describe Current Safety Issues \& Systemic Ranking Review
North Dakota Crashes 2008-2012 5 years

|  | Intersection Criteria |
| :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Control Device | Signal |
| Entering ADT | $>15,000$ |
| Development / Ped | $<30,000$ |
| Generator | Yes |
| Total Ped/Bike Crash | $>0$ |


| Description | Unit Cost | Quanity | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Confirmation Lights | $\$ 2,000$ per intersection | 2 | $\$ 4,000$ |
| Unsignalized and Divided Access |  |  |  |
| Management |  |  |  |$\quad \$ 300,000$ per mile $\quad 0.0$| $\$ 0$ |
| :---: |

Project Cost Estimate (attach detailed copy)
Proposed Year of Construction

| Federal Funds | $\$ 3,600$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| Local Match (10\% of Total project cost) | $\$ 400$ |
| Total Project Cost | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 0 0 0}$ |

NDDOT Central Office Only

| Page: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: |
| Date: |
| $8 / 14 / 2013$ |

## HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HSIP) PROJECT APPLICATION

North Dakota Department of Transportation Programming
SFN 59959 (06-2011)

Right Angle Crashes @ Signals Intersection Improvements

## Intersections on E Interstate Ave from US 83 (State Street) to N 19th St

Agency Name: City of Bismarck
Contact Name: Mark Berg
Email Address: maberg@nd.gov
Please attach a location map(s). You may use additional sheets to further describe your project.
Location Description

SHSP Emphasis Area (check all that apply)
Corridor 810.02
Street Name E Interstate Ave
Urban/Rural: Urban
County: Burleigh
Length 0.6

ND DOT District: 1
Telephone Number: 701-355-1529
20


Describe Current Safety Issues \& Systemic Ranking Review
North Dakota Crashes 2008-2012 5 years

|  | Intersection Criteria |
| :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Control Device | Signal |
| Entering ADT | $>15,000$ |
| Development / Ped | $<30,000$ |
| Generator | Yes |
| Total Ped/Bike Crash $\epsilon$ | $>0$ |


| Description | Unit Cost | Quanity | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Confirmation Lights | $\$ 2,000$ per intersection | 2 | $\$ 4,000$ |
| Unsignalized and Divided Access |  |  |  |
| Management |  |  |  |$\quad \$ 300,000$ per mile $\quad 0.0$| $\$ 0$ |
| :---: |

Project Cost Estimate (attach detailed copy)
Proposed Year of Construction

| Federal Funds | $\$ 3,600$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| Local Match (10\% of Total project cost) | $\$ 400$ |
| Total Project Cost | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 0 0 0}$ |

NDDOT Central Office Only
$\qquad$ $\square$ o $\qquad$ ID Number -
Notes --
$\qquad$

| Page: | 4 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: | 810.02 |
| Date: | $9 / 26 / 2013$ |

HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HSIP) PROJECT APPLICATION
North Dakota Department of Transportation Programming

## Intersections on E Capitol Ave from N 4th St to End

Agency Name: City of Bismarck
Contact Name: Mark Berg
Email Address: maberg@nd.gov

Please attach a location map(s). You may use additional sheets to further describe your project.
Location Description

| Corridor 815.01 Street Name E Capitol Ave Urban/Rural: Urban County: Burleigh Length 1.7 |  |  |  |  | SHSP Emphasis Area (check all that apply) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Reduce Alcohol Impaired Driving Increase the Use of Safety Restraints for all Occupants Younger Driver/Older Driver Safety Curb Aggressive Driving Improvements to Address Lane Departure Crashes Enhancing Emergency Medical Capabilities to Increase Improve Intersection Safety |  |  |  |  |  |
| Describe Proposed Safety Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Intersection } \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Street Name | Cross Street | Config | Taffic Control | Enterting ADT | Major Config | Severe Crashes | Severe RA Crashes | Confirmation Lights | Notes |
| 815.01 | E Capitol Ave | N 4th St | X | Thru-STOP | 9,285 | Undivided | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 815.02 | E Capitol Ave | N 12th St (West) | T | Thru-STOP | 5,060 | Undivided | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 815.03 | E Capitol Ave | N 12th St (East) | T | Thru-STOP | 5,110 | Undivided | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 815.04 | E Capitol Ave | State St | X | Signal | 17,925 | Divided | 1 | 0 | 1 | - |
| 815.05 | E Capitol Ave | N 19th St | X | Signal | 12,125 | Undivided | 0 | 0 | 1 | - |

Describe Current Safety Issues \& Systemic Ranking Review
North Dakota Crashes 2008-2012 5 years

|  | Intersection Criteria |
| :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Control Device | Signal |
| Entering ADT | $>15,000$ |
| Development / Ped | $<30,000$ |
| Generator | Yes |
| Total Ped/Bike Crash | $>0$ |


| Description | Unit Cost | Quanity | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Confirmation Lights | $\$ 2,000$ per intersection | 2 | $\$ 4,000$ |
| Unsignalized and Divided Access |  |  |  |
| Management |  |  |  |$\quad \$ 300,000$ per mile $\quad 0.0$| $\$ 0$ |
| :---: |

Project Cost Estimate (attach detailed copy)
Proposed Year of Construction

| Federal Funds | $\$ 3,600$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| Local Match (10\% of Total project cost) | $\$ 400$ |
| Total Project Cost | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 0 0 0}$ |

NDDOT Central Office Only

| Page: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: |
| Date: |
| $8 / 15 / 2013$ |

HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HSIP) PROJECT APPLICATION
North Dakota Department of Transportation Programming SFN 59959 (06-2011)

Right Angle Crashes @ Signals Intersection Improvements
Intersections on S Washington St from 34th Ave SW to Bismarck Expy

Agency Name: City of Bismarck
Contact Name: Mark Berg
ND DOT District: 1
Telephone Number: 701-355-1529
Email Address: maberg@nd.gov
Please attach a location map(s). You may use additional sheets to further describe your project.
Location Description

Corridor 845.01
Street Name S Washington St
Urban/Rural: Urban
County: Burleigh
Length 2.0

SHSP Emphasis Area (check all that apply)


Increase the Use of Safety Restraints for all Occupants Younger Driver/Older Driver Safety
Curb Aggressive Driving
Improvements to Address Lane Departure Crashes Enhancing Emergency Medical Capabilities to Increase Improve Intersection Safety

Describe Proposed Safety Improvements

| Intersection <br> ID | Street Name | Cross Street | Config | Taffic Control | Enterting ADT | Major Config Severe Crashes | Severe RA <br> Crashes | Confirmation <br> Lights | Notes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 845.01 | S Washington St | 34th Ave SW | X | All-way STOP | 3,833 | Undivided | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 845.02 | S Washington St | Wachter Ave | X | Signal | 9,845 | Undivided | 0 | 0 | 1 | - |
| 845.03 | S Washington St | verwood Dr / Denver A | X | Signal | 17,118 | Divided | 3 | 0 | 1 | - |

Describe Current Safety Issues \& Systemic Ranking Review
North Dakota Crashes 2008-2012 5 years

|  | Intersection Criteria |
| :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Control Device | Signal |
| Entering ADT | $>15,000$ |
| Development / Ped | $<30,000$ |
| Generator | Yes |
| Total Ped/Bike Crash | $>0$ |


| Description | Unit Cost | Quanity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Confirmation Lights | $\$ 2,000$ per intersection | 2 | $\$ 4,000$ |
| Unsignalized and Divided Access |  |  |  |
| Management |  |  |  |$\quad \$ 300,000$ per mile $\quad 0.0$| $\$ 0$ |
| :---: |

Project Cost Estimate (attach detailed copy)
Proposed Year of Construction

| Federal Funds | $\$ 3,600$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| Local Match (10\% of Total project cost) | $\$ 400$ |
| Total Project Cost | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 0 0 0}$ |

NDDOT Central Office Only
Project Accepted? $\square$ Yes $\qquad$ $\square$ № Reference Number ID Number -
Notes --

| Page: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: |
| $8 / 14 / 2013$ |

HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HSIP) PROJECT APPLICATION
North Dakota Department of Transportation Programming SFN 59959 (06-2011)

Right Angle Crashes @ Signals Intersection Improvements
Intersections on S Washington St from 34th Ave SW to Bismarck Expy

Agency Name: City of Bismarck
Contact Name: Mark Berg
ND DOT District: 1
Telephone Number: 701-355-1529
Email Address: maberg@nd.gov
Please attach a location map(s). You may use additional sheets to further describe your project.
Location Description

Corridor 845.01
Street Name S Washington St
Urban/Rural: Urban
County: Burleigh
Length 2.0

SHSP Emphasis Area (check all that apply)


Increase the Use of Safety Restraints for all Occupants Younger Driver/Older Driver Safety
Curb Aggressive Driving
Improvements to Address Lane Departure Crashes Enhancing Emergency Medical Capabilities to Increase Improve Intersection Safety

Describe Proposed Safety Improvements

| Intersection <br> ID | Street Name | Cross Street | Config | Taffic Control | Enterting ADT | Major Config Severe Crashes | Severe RA <br> Crashes | Confirmation <br> Lights | Notes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 845.01 | S Washington St | 34th Ave SW | X | All-way STOP | 3,833 | Undivided | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 845.02 | S Washington St | Wachter Ave | X | Signal | 9,845 | Undivided | 0 | 0 | 1 | - |
| 845.03 | S Washington St | verwood Dr / Denver A | X | Signal | 17,118 | Divided | 3 | 0 | 1 | - |

Describe Current Safety Issues \& Systemic Ranking Review
North Dakota Crashes 2008-2012 5 years

|  | Intersection Criteria |
| :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Control Device | Signal |
| Entering ADT | $>15,000$ |
| Development / Ped | $<30,000$ |
| Generator | Yes |
| Total Ped/Bike Crash | $>0$ |


| Description | Unit Cost | Quanity | Total Cost |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Confirmation Lights | $\$ 2,000$ per intersection | 2 | $\$ 4,000$ |
| Unsignalized and Divided Access |  |  |  |
| Management |  |  |  |$\quad \$ 300,000$ per mile $\quad 0.0$| $\$ 0$ |
| :---: |

Project Cost Estimate (attach detailed copy)
Proposed Year of Construction

| Federal Funds | $\$ 3,600$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| Local Match (10\% of Total project cost) | $\$ 400$ |
| Total Project Cost | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 0 0 0}$ |

NDDOT Central Office Only
Project Accepted? $\square$ Yes $\qquad$ $\square$ № Reference Number ID Number -
Notes --

| Page: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Intersection ID: |
| $8 / 14 / 2013$ |

### 5.0 Behavioral Safety Strategies

### 5.1 Purpose of Driver Behavior Safety Strategies

North Dakota's Local Road Safety Program (LRSP) recognizes that driver behavior is a significant factor contributing to a majority of the severe crashes on North Dakota's local roads. Traffic crashes may result from any combination of overlapping crash factors, such as the roadway, the vehicle, and driver behavior. Research supports and experts agree that in most cases driver behavior - risky decisions, driver error, lapses of attention, and driver limitations is a chief factor contributing to traffic crashes (Lerner et al., 2010). Severe traffic crashes in North Dakota's Burleigh County can be largely prevented and reduced if motorists were persuaded to engage in key safe driving practices to buckle up, drive at safe speeds, pay attention, and plan ahead to avoid impaired driving. For maximum safety benefit, these measures should be undertaken in addition to adopting infrastructure safety strategies to help ensure the safest and most forgiving roadway possible.

### 5.2 Overview of Behavioral Crash Data for Burleigh County

Unbelted Vehicle Occupants: Traffic safety research demonstrates that a motorist's seat belt is the most effective defense in the event of a crash. When lap and shoulder seat belts are used, the risk of fatal injury to front-seat passenger car occupants is reduced by 45 percent and the risk of moderate-to-critical injury is reduced by 50 percent (NHTSA, 2001). Safety benefits are even greater for light-truck occupants, with seat belts reducing fatalities by 60 percent and moderate-to-critical injury by 65 percent (NHTSA, 2009). North Dakota's 2013 statewide seat belt use is 77.7 percent; lower than the nationwide use of 86 percent. Unbelted severe crashes are Burleigh County's greatest opportunity to strengthen road safety through improving driver behavior. The trend of severe unbelted crashes is increasing statewide. Burleigh County exceeds the statewide-unbelted severe crashes with 53 percent of the county's severe crashes involving unbelted motorists.

Alcohol-Related Crashes: Nationally, although impaired driving fatalities have decreased since 2007, the percentage of alcohol-impaired fatalities in the U.S. has remained essentially unchanged (NHTSA, 2012a). Similarly, over the last decade, each year nearly half of motor vehicle fatalities statewide in North Dakota continue to be alcohol-related. In the Burleigh County, 34 percent of the county's severe crashes are alcohol-related - higher than the statewide 30 percent. From statewide crash data, nearly half of these preventable severe crashes are on the local road system.

Young Driver-Involved: Young drivers have the highest involvement in fatal crashes of any age group. The fatal crash involvement of drivers age 16 to 20 is nearly twice that of drivers' age 21 and older (NHTSA, 2012b). Key underlying factors to their high crash risk are the developmental and behavioral issues of adolescence coupled with driving inexperience. Young drivers too often immaturely take risks while driving without thinking through the potential consequences of their life-threatening decisions (Keating, 2007). Such high-risk behaviors typically include lack of seat belt use, aggressive driving/speeding, and distractions while driving. Although severe injury crashes involving young drivers have gradually declined statewide, young drivers under the age of 21 continue to be overrepresented in crashes with 67 percent occurring on local roads. In Burleigh County, 22 percent of severe crashes involve young drivers, which is similar to the statewide crash data.

Excessive Speed or Aggressive Driving: Speeding is common and is a tough nut to crack nationally and in North Dakota. Although drivers generally acknowledge that speeding is an unsafe behavior, speeding remains common because the perceived risk of injury is low relative to the perceived benefits of driving fast such as saving time and driving pleasure (Lerner et al., 2010). Consequently, the percentage of speeding-related fatal crashes has remained essentially unchanged over the years and remains a contributing factor in 31 percent of traffic fatalities in the U.S. (NHTSA, 2012c). Speeding and aggressive driving continue to account for approximately 26 percent of all severe crashes in North Dakota with 62 percent of these crashes occurring on the local road system. In Burleigh County, 22 percent of its severe crashes involve speed or aggressive driving - lower than the statewide percentage of 26 percent.

### 5.3 Importance of Traffic Safety Culture Change

### 5.3.1 The Influence of Traffic Safety Culture

In adopting North Dakota's long-term vision of zero fatalities, the 2013 North Dakota SHSP establishes a collective goal to reduce the 3-year average of traffic fatalities to 100 or fewer by 2020. To accomplish this interim goal, Burleigh County, together with its traffic safety partners, seeks to develop and implement its LRSP safety strategies within the broader societal context of motorists' behavior and North Dakota's traffic safety culture. Traffic safety culture can be defined as the implicit shared values, beliefs, and perceptions that shape motorists' behavior.

### 5.3.2 Social Norms Inhibiting a Strong Traffic Safety Culture

At the core of the nation's and North Dakota's traffic safety challenge is a complacency toward risk-taking by drivers and a tolerance for traffic crashes and the resulting deaths and serious injuries. Contributing factors include a sense of individual driver invulnerability, perceived driving skills and vehicle control, and a sense of anonymity and entitlement on the road. The latest data from the 2012 Traffic Safety Culture Index Survey reports that, as in previous years, the safety culture in the United States surrounding distracted driving can best be described as "do as I say, not as I do" - due to the high numbers of people who object to certain behaviors, yet will admit that they, themselves, engage in them (AAA, 2012). Real progress in traffic safety depends largely on addressing and changing this culture of indifference to effectively implement and see results of both SHSP and LRSP safety strategies.

### 5.3.3 Social Levels Influencing Safety Culture

Efforts to change individual driver and motorist behaviors should be planned and executed from an ecological viewpoint - one that examines the driving public and their interaction with their social environments. Traffic safety culture and its influence operate at different levels within society. Therefore, a broader definition of traffic safety culture includes the values, beliefs, and perceptions of not only the individual driver, but of those shared by the various communities of which the driver is a part (Figure 5-1). The individual driver exists within a system that includes the following levels, each embodying factors that influence driving culture and crash risk (Ward et al., 2010; Dahlberg and Krug, 2002):

- Individual level - Factors such as driver age, driving experience, self-esteem, income, and substance abuse
- Relationship level - Factors such as relationships with peers, co-workers, supervisors, and family members
- Community level - Factors include the settings or environments in which relationships occur such as school, church, workplaces, and neighborhoods
- Societal level - Large-scale factors such as safety, health, economic, and educational policies, as well as government commitments and priorities



## FIGURE 5-1

## Social Ecological Perspective of Culture

Source: "Violence - A Global Public Health Problem" by L.L. Dahlberg and E.G. Krug, in World
Report on Violence and Health (World Health Organization)
Social norms at each level and within each group point to what behaviors are perceived as important. Norms create conformity to expectations that allows people (that is, drivers) to successfully socialize to the subcultures in which they belong. These norms create a climate in which unsafe driving behavior is either encouraged or discouraged. Perceived social norms condoning high-risk driving behaviors provide the case for drivers to rationalize their own high-risk behaviors. To accomplish the culture change, traffic safety behavioral strategies seek to make safe-driving behaviors the accepted norm across all social ecological levels.
The implication of the social ecological model for LRSP efforts is that the implementation plans of LRSP strategies plans should attempt to:

- Increase perceived social pressure to comply with traffic safety laws and practices, thereby, producing safety behavioral norms (Ward et al., 2010)
- Shift the social acceptance of high-risk behaviors to one of perceived unacceptance by significant others and one's peers.


### 5.4 Behavioral Safety Strategies

### 5.4.1 Role of Policy, Education, and Enforcement

Techniques or strategies to change driver behavior essentially fall into one of three categories: 1) policy change or laws, local ordinances, regulations, sanctions and penalties; 2) enforcement of the laws; and 3) education or public information, media, and training. These three categories of behavioral safety strategies work together to have the greatest impact on changing risky driver behavior. The degree of effectiveness of any one strategy on behavioral change depends not only on how effectively the strategy is implemented, but also on how these three categories of policy, enforcement, and education are working together.

For example, a state or local agency that is seeking to increase motorists' seat belt use and decides to use a "buckle up" public information campaign (behavioral change strategy). The effectiveness of the campaign not only depends on the quality of the education or public
information campaign (relevance to target group, duration, saturation of the messaging), but also the strength of the law in place (primary vs. secondary seat belt law, all passengers vs. front seat only, higher penalty/fee vs. low penalty/fee) and, most important, the degree of seat belt use enforcement (coverage, intensity, visible by the public).

Consequently, the strength of driver safety policy, enforcement, and education surrounding a behavioral strategy selected greatly impact its effectiveness. Therefore, when selecting and implementing a behavioral strategy, an agency must examine the policy, enforcement, and educational context of the strategy and explore ways to strengthen each, as appropriate, to gain the most from a selected strategy.

Finally, it is critically important that traffic safety enforcement is viewed as a priority within local law enforcement agencies and that agency leaders and administrators advocate for strong local enforcement of traffic laws. It is imperative that agency leaders actively address political and public resistance and provide a pathway to deploy the leading strategy to save lives on North Dakota roadways - effective traffic enforcement coupled with public outreach. By advocating for enforcement, educating local elected officials, and equipping officers to effectively enforce traffic safety laws, North Dakota will reap far greater life-saving outcomes from its local safety initiatives.

### 5.4.2 Effective Use of Public Information Strategies

Public information (education) strategies are often popular among communities seeking to change risky driving behaviors. Education or public information campaigns can range from brochures and mailings to peer-to-peer safety messaging. Brochures and mailings are a passive approach, while peer-to-peer messaging provides a more effective behavioral change approach. In general, a key challenge in influencing driver behavior is that most drivers know what they are supposed to do to drive safely, yet due to successfully driving with risky patterns with no incidence of crash, drivers underestimate the risk of their choices. For this reason, research supports that education, coupled with enforcement, will have the strongest impact in changing driver behavior (NHTSA, 2013).

Following are key characteristics of impactful public information/education campaigns (Williams, 2007):

- Implemented in support of a high-visibility enforcement program
- Focused messaging for a target group
- Longer-term programs delivering messages of sufficient intensity over time
- Messages communicating new information not previously well known
- Messages that are part of a broader-based, longer-term community program with similar messaging coming from multiple sources
- Using behavior change models including interactive methods teaching skills to resist social pressure (such as role playing, group discussion)


### 5.4.3 LRSP Phase 1 Priority Strategies

During the LRSP workshop, participants reviewed Burleigh County's behavioral crash data and discussed behavioral safety strategy alternatives that could be implemented at the local level. Out of the strategy review discussions, participants engaged in a prioritization process with six strategies emerging as the preferred local behavioral safety strategies for the four behavioral
critical emphasis areas. Table 5-1 reflects the LRSP Phase 1 results of the strategy prioritization, as well as each strategy's alignment with the North Dakota SHSP (indicated by an " X " if included in the SHSP).

TABLE 5-1
North Dakota Phase 1 LRSP Workshop Priority Behavioral Strategies and Relationship with the North Dakota SHSP

| Phase 1 LRSP Workshop Priority Behavioral Strategies and Their Relationship with the North Dakota SHSP |  |  |  | Q $\frac{0}{1}$ ¢ Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impaired Driving |  |  |  |  |
| - Conduct regular high-visibility DUI enforcement saturations | X | X | X | X |
| Speeding and Aggressive Driving |  |  |  |  |
| - Conduct high-visibility targeted enforcement of speeding and aggressive driving | X | X | X | X |
| Young Drivers |  |  |  |  |
| - Publicize and conduct a high-visibility enforcement of GDL restrictions, cell and texting laws, underage drinking and driving, and seatbelt laws |  |  | X | X |
| - Encourage driver education providers (local schools and private providers) to require parent education component | X | X |  | X |
| - Brief interventions by health care providers following a crash regarding driving risks and consequences |  |  | X | X |
| Unbelted Occupants |  |  |  |  |
| - Conduct highly publicized enforcement campaigns to maximize restraint use. | X | X | X | X |
| Note: <br> DUI = driving under the influence <br> GDL = graduated driver's license |  |  |  |  |

The following subsections provide a more complete description of each priority strategy, suggested steps to launch local agency efforts, recommended implementation resources, and potential future considerations for expanded local agency and community-based support for the SHSP safety strategies. It is important to note that multidisciplinary SHSP implementation teams will be formed to support the implementation of priority strategies for each of the six SHSP priority emphasis areas including: lane departure, unbelted vehicle occupants, alcoholrelated, speed or aggressive drivers, young drivers, and intersections. Therefore, local agencies seeking to leverage local-level safety initiatives described in the following subsections are encouraged to coordinate with and/or engage in the statewide SHSP implementation teams.

### 5.4.4 Impaired Driving

## Burleigh County Priority Strategy - Conduct regular high-visibility DUI enforcement saturation patrols (includes expanding DUI sobriety checkpoints)

Description: High-visibility DUI enforcement is a high-priority, proven safety strategy to reduce alcohol-impaired severe crashes in North Dakota and across the nation. The most effective way to deter impaired driving is through a highly visible enforcement effort to reinforce the driving public's belief that impaired drivers are at high risk of being arrested,
prosecuted, and adjudicated. High-visibility enforcement consists of multiple jurisdictions and/or multiple squads patrolling a segment of roadway at the same time, often using brightly colored vests and signs. Planned enforcement is publicized extensively through community kickoff events involving the local media and public education campaigns about the enforcement. High visibility also includes enforcement agencies reporting to news media the outcome or arrests made during the saturation or checkpoint campaign. In addition to deterring driving after drinking by increasing the perceived risk of arrest, high-visibility enforcement extends the safety impact of the enforcement campaign for a longer period following the campaign.

## What are saturation patrols?

Saturation patrols, also known as "dedicated DUI patrols," are stepped-up enforcement involving a greater number of law enforcement officers patrolling a specific area for a set time to identify and arrest impaired drivers. Multiple agencies often combine and concentrate their resources to conduct saturation patrols.

## What are sobriety checkpoints?

At sobriety checkpoints, law enforcement officials evaluate drivers for signs of alcohol or drug impairment at certain points on the roadway. Vehicles are stopped in a specific sequence, such as every other vehicle or every fourth, fifth, etc. The frequency of which vehicles are stopped depends on the traffic conditions and the number of enforcement personnel available to staff the checkpoint.

## Getting Started:

- Contact the Traffic Safety Office (TSO) to participate in the SHSP process as a stakeholder in the implementation of strategies identified for priority safety emphasis areas in the SHSP.
- Assist local law enforcement agencies and Regional DUI Task Forces with identifying locations with high crash involvement for high-visibility enforcement.
- With local law enforcement, attend county board/city council meetings to speak on the importance of reducing impaired driving and the important role of both enforcement and engineering safety strategies.
- Collaborate with highway patrol, local law enforcement, community health officials, and local traffic safety stakeholders to use TSO DUI campaign materials to conduct community outreach on the enforcement campaign.


## Implementation Resources:

- For crash data and analysis to focus DUI enforcement efforts, contact the NDDOT Traffic Safety Office (TSO) at (701) 328-4692.
- To learn about Regional DUI Task Forces and other local traffic safety enforcement activities and enforcement grant opportunities, contact the TSO.
- See Section 5.5, Traffic Safety Office Supporting Resources.
- For statewide impaired driving enforcement mobilizations, the TSO distributes media outreach materials to local enforcement agencies which may include: press releases, talking points, camera-ready artwork and posters, impaired driving fact sheets, handouts for the public at checkpoints, a print public service announcement (PSA), and live-read radio PSAs. (Note: TSO to assemble available information resources.)
- For guidance on planning and publicizing saturation patrols and sobriety checkpoints:

Saturation Patrols \& Sobriety Checkpoints: A How-to Guide for Planning and Publicizing Impaired Driving Enforcement Efforts, NHTSA, Report No. DOT HS 809 063, revised October 2002.
http://www.nhtsa.gov/people/injury/alcohol/saturation_patrols/
Low-Staffing Sobriety Checkpoints. NHTSA, Report No. DOT HS 810 590, 2006. http://www.nhtsa.gov/people/injury/enforce/LowStaffing_Checkpoints/

- Other impaired-driving safety resources:

```
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration: http://www.nhtsa.gov/Impaired Governor's Highway Safety Administration: http://www.ghsa.org/html/issues/impaireddriving/index.html
```

Insurance Institute for Highway Safety:
http://www.iihs.org/research/topics/alcohol_drugs.html

## Potential future considerations for expanded local agency and community-based support of SHSP impaired-driving safety strategies:

- Engage local safety stakeholders (law enforcement, Mothers Against Drunk Driving [MADD], Students Against Drunk Driving [SADD], North Dakota Safety Council, community health provider, emergency medical service providers) and facilitate coalition development to educate local elected officials on the importance of state agency impaireddriving legislative initiatives resulting from the state's comprehensive assessment of North Dakota impaired-driving laws.
- Conduct community-wide and sustained public information outreach to educate and create cultural awareness of the risks associated with excessive alcohol use.
- Develop and conduct local public outreach on accessible safe-ride alternative transportation services.
- Conduct highly publicized compliance checks and training for local alcohol retailers and merchants to reduce sales to underage persons.


## Other high-impact, proven strategies for local agency consideration:

- Monitor judicial sentencing of local DUI courts or intensive supervision programs.


### 5.4.5 Young Drivers

Burleigh County Priority Strategy - Encourage driver education providers (local schools and private providers) to require a parent education component
Description: Effective parental monitoring of teen driving can go a long way in helping to keep novice drivers safe on the roadway. Programs offering teen driver safety materials together with facilitated guidance help parents make the important connection between teen driving restrictions and teen driving risks. Without a required parent component for teen driver
education, parents lack awareness of graduated driver's license (GDL) safety provisions, don't fully recognize teen driving risks, are often anxious to be relieved of shuttling their teens, may be reluctant to invest the necessary time to instruct and supervise their teen's driving, and often believe their teen is the exception and is a good and safe driver. To help overcome these parent challenges and more effectively engage parents, incorporating a parent education component into driver education programs is demonstrating promising results.
Key components of a good parent education program include:

- Discusses risks for novice teen drivers
- Explains how and why GDL works to address risks
- Reviews the critical role parents play in teaching, supporting and managing their novice drivers
- Explains the importance of and provides an opportunity to try out a parent/teen driving agreement
- Delivery by trained, educated facilitators
- Emphasizes parents and teens working together for safety


## Getting Started:

- Contact the Traffic Safety Office (TSO) to participate in the SHSP process as a stakeholder in the implementation of strategies identified for priority safety emphasis areas in the SHSP.
- Learn about education providers in your local community by contacting the Traffic Safety Office at (701) 328-4692.
- Explore county-mandated parent training through examining Virginia's Planning District 8 (includes four counties and four cities) 90 -minute driving safety program for parents and teens as part of the in-classroom portion of the state's driver education curriculum. Contact Ben Swecker (703) 791-7328 or Tim TeWalt (703) 791-7353 at Prince William County Schools.
- With local law enforcement and driver educators, attend county board/city council meetings to inform them of the local initiative to incorporate parent education into driver's education programs to more fully engage parents and reduce teen driver severe crashes.
- Post information on teen driving laws on local school websites or request school resource officer to send information to parents highlighting driving risks for teens and existing North Dakota teen driver laws.
- Consider linking parent-teen participation in a teen driving program to school parking privileges.


## Implementation Resources:

- See Section 5.5, Traffic Safety Office Supporting Resources.
- For educational materials for parents of teen drivers including guidelines to ensure teen drivers are educated on safe driving practices as well as The North Dakota Parent Guide to Teen Driving and the Parent Teen Driver Agreement, see the Teen Drivers \& Parents section of the NDDOT website:
http://www.dot.nd.gov/divisions/safety/teens-parents.htm
- For an example parent-teen class outline and discussion guide, download the Minnesota Department of Public Safety, Office of Traffic Safety's Teen Drivers: The Parent's Role at: https://dps.mn.gov/divisions/ots/teen-driving/Documents/Parent-class-leaders-guide-july-2013.doc
- The Minnesota Office of Traffic Safety developed "Point of Impact: Teen Driver Safety Parent Awareness Program" as a community-based class for parents and their soon-to-be teen drivers. The Point of Impact Leader's Guide is a resource for implementing the class. The Point of Impact video is an important component of the program. A PowerPoint presentation and other information are available by contacting Gordy Pehrson at gordy.pehrson@state.mn.us.
- For information on the nationally recognized University of Michigan's Checkpoints program offering facilitated parent education:
http://youngdriverparenting.org/ and http://www.saferdrivingforteens.org/
- For a comprehensive guide to strengthen parental roles in teen safe driving, see the Governors Highway Safety Association's (GHSA's) Promoting Parent Involvement in Teen Driving: An In-Depth Look at the Importance and the Initiatives. http://www.ghsa.org/html/publications/pdf/sfteens13.pdf
- For additional information on mandated and voluntary parent/teen education programs in Connecticut, Massachusetts, Georgia, and select Virginia counties, see GHSA's Curbing Teen Driver Crashes: An In-Depth Look at State Initiatives.
http://www.ghsa.org/html/publications/pdf/sfteens12.pdf
- For age-specific information and resources for parents on how to start and continue the conversation about alcohol use with their children, see the North Dakota's Parents LEAD program (Listen, Educate, Ask, Discuss).
http://www.parentslead.org/


## Considerations for future expanded local agency/community support of ND SHSP impaired driving safety strategies:

- Engage local traffic safety stakeholders (law enforcement, school administrators, driving schools, insurance companies, community health providers, emergency medical service providers) and facilitate coalition development to educate local elected officials on the importance of state agency GDL and teen driver safety policy initiatives.


## Other high-impact, proven strategies for local agency consideration:

- Conduct locally facilitated peer-to-peer driver safety outreach campaigns designed for high school students to raise peer awareness of the common risk factors threatening novice drivers.


### 5.4.6 Unbelted

Burleigh County Priority Strategy - Conduct highly publicized enforcement campaigns to maximize restraint use
Description: See Section 5.4.4 for a description of high-visibility/highly publicized enforcement campaigns.
North Dakota law enforcement agencies (state, county, city and tribal) participate in the state's Click It or Ticket mobilization program to boost seat belt use and reduce highway fatalities through stepped up enforcement of unrestrained occupants, The mobilization is supported by
national and local paid advertising and earned media campaigns aimed at raising awareness before the enforcement saturation. Click It or Tick It takes place each year in May around the Memorial Day holiday. North Dakota has increased its focus on nighttime seat belt use because fewer motorists buckle up at night.

## Getting Started:

- Contact the Traffic Safety Office (TSO) to participate in the SHSP process as a stakeholder in the implementation of strategies identified for priority safety emphasis areas in the SHSP.
- Assist local law enforcement agencies with identifying locations with high unbelted crash involvement for high-visibility enforcement.
- With local law enforcement, attend county board/city council meetings to speak on the importance of enforcing belt use.
- Collaborate with highway patrol, local law enforcement, community health officials, and local traffic safety stakeholders to use TSO belt use campaign materials to conduct community outreach on the enforcement campaign.


## Implementation Resources:

- For crash data and analysis to focus seat belt enforcement efforts, contact the NDDOT Traffic Safety Office (TSO) at (701) 328-4692.
- To learn about local traffic safety enforcement activities and enforcement grant opportunities, contact the TSO.
- See Section 5.5, Traffic Safety Office Supporting Resources.
- For statewide belt use mobilizations, the TSO distributes media outreach materials to local enforcement agencies which may include: press releases, talking points, camera-ready artwork and posters, belt-use fact sheets, a print public service announcement (PSA), and live-read radio PSAs. (Note: TSO to assemble available information resources.)
- For guidance on planning and publicizing belt-use saturation patrols:

NHTSA 2013 national seat belt enforcement Products for Enforcement Action Kit (PEAK) to help enforcement rally officers and alert the public to prepare for maximum highvisibility seat belt enforcement during the day and also at night. http://www.trafficsafetymarketing.gov/CIOT-PEAK

Nighttime Enforcement of Seat Belt Laws: An Evaluation of Three Community Programs, NHTSA, Report No. DOT HS 811 189, August 2009.

Innovative Seat Belt Demonstration Programs in Kentucky, Mississippi, North Dakota, and Wyoming, NHTSA, Report No. DOT HS 811 080, March 2009.

Avoiding "Tween" Tragedies: Demonstration Project to Increase Seat Belt Use Among 8- to 15-year-old Motor Vehicle Occupants, NHTSA, Report No. DOT HS 811 096, June 2012.

For the above and other belt enforcement and information outreach resources: http://www.nhtsa.gov/Driving+Safety/Occupant+Protection

- Other seat-belt safety resources:

Governor's Highway Safety Administration:
http://www.ghsa.org/html/issues/ occprotection/index.html
Insurance Institute for Highway Safety: http://www.iihs.org/iihs/topics/t/safety-belts/topicoverview

## Potential future considerations for expanded local agency, tribal and community-based support of SHSP safety strategies:

- Pursue tribal ordinances for primary enforcement of seat belt laws.
- Engage local safety stakeholders (law enforcement, Mothers Against Drunk Driving [MADD], Students Against Drunk Driving [SADD], North Dakota Safety Council, community health provider, emergency medical service providers) and facilitate coalition development to educate local elected officials on the importance of state agency primary seat belt legislative initiatives.
- Conduct community-wide and sustained public information outreach to educate and create cultural awareness of the risks associated with unbelted motorists.


### 5.4.7 Speed and Aggressive Driving

Burleigh County Priority Strategy - Conduct highly publicized and targeted speed and aggressive driving enforcement campaigns
Description: See Section 5.4 .4 for a description of high-visibility/highly publicized enforcement campaigns.

North Dakota law enforcement agencies (state, county, city and tribal) participate in the state's Ticketing Aggressive Cars and Trucks (TACT) program to reduce speed-related fatalities and severe injuries through stepped up enforcement of aggressive cars and trucks primarily in oilimpacted counties. For aggressive driving enforcement, officers focus on drivers who commits a combination of moving traffic violations such speeding, following too closely, running red lights, which endangers other persons or property.

## Getting Started:

- Contact the Traffic Safety Office (TSO) to participate in the SHSP process as a stakeholder in the implementation of strategies identified for priority safety emphasis areas in the SHSP.
- See Section 5.5, Traffic Safety Office Supporting Resources.
- Assist local law enforcement agencies with identifying locations with high speed and aggressive driving-related crash involvement for high-visibility enforcement.
- With local law enforcement, attend county board/city council meetings to speak on the importance of enforcing speed and aggressive driving.
- Collaborate with highway patrol, local law enforcement, community health officials, and local traffic safety stakeholders to use TSO speed campaign materials to conduct community outreach on the enforcement campaign.


## Implementation Resources:

- For crash data and analysis to focus speed enforcement efforts, contact the NDDOT Traffic Safety Office (TSO) at (701) 328-4692.
- To learn about local traffic safety enforcement activities and enforcement grant opportunities, contact the TSO.
- See Section 5.5, Traffic Safety Office Supporting Resources.
- For guidance for law enforcement on planning and publicizing local speed saturation patrols and successful case examples, see NHTSA's Guidelines for Developing a Municipal Speed Enforcement Program at:
http://www.nhtsa.dot.gov/ people/injury/enforce/ program.htm
- For a summary of successful aggressive driving enforcement programs deployed at the local and state-level across the country, see NHTSA's (2001 b) Aggressive Driving Enforcement: Strategies for Implementing Best Practices at:
http://www.nhtsa.gov/people/injury/enforce/aggressdrivers/aggenforce/
- Other speed-related safety resources:

Governor's Highway Safety Administration:
http://www.ghsa.org/html/issues/ speeding.html
Insurance Institute for Highway Safety:
http://www.iihs.org/iihs/topics/t/speed/topicoverview

## Potential future considerations for expanded local agency, tribal and community-based support of SHSP safety strategies:

- Engage local safety stakeholders (law enforcement, Mothers Against Drunk Driving [MADD], Students Against Drunk Driving [SADD], North Dakota Safety Council, community health provider, emergency medical service providers) and facilitate coalition development to educate local elected officials on the importance of state agency legislative initiatives to strengthen penalties such as increased fines for right-of-way and speed violations.


## Burleigh County's Priority Strategy - Provide enhanced enforcement to support local agency implementation of Red-Light-Running confirmation lights for at-risk intersection locations.

Description: To reduce the most common type of severe crashes at signalized intersections--right angle crashes - Burleigh County would like to deploy an innovative safety strategy using a downstream confirmation light system to reduce red-light running. A blue LED light mounted on the back of a traffic light is activated when an offender runs the red light. A single officer stationed across the intersection downstream from the traffic light safely observes and pursues the red light violator (instead of one officer to observe and an additional officer to pursue). To implement, red-light-running confirmation lights requires interdependent collaboration of both engineering and enforcement; even more effective would be added public outreach about the RLR confirmation lights.

## Getting Started:

- Contact the Traffic Safety Office (TSO) to participate in the SHSP process as a stakeholder in the implementation of strategies identified for priority safety emphasis areas in the SHSP.
- Work with NDDOT staff regarding specific design features of the system. Contact NDDOT Traffic Operations Section, Shawn Kuntz, 701-328-2673.
- Coordinate with local law enforcement:
- Ask for their assistance in locating the enforcement lights on traffic signal poles/mast arms (optimum viewing locations)
- Ask for an agreement regarding minimum levels of enforcement (i.e., one hour per day at any of the equipped locations)
- Provide training to officers after installation - demonstrate that the "Blue/Confirmation" Light does come on at the same instant as the red light of the signal.
- Encourage law enforcement to coordinate with the City/County attorney - make sure the attorney understands the technology and is willing to prosecute the violators.
- Encourage the City/County attorney to coordinate with the district court judge - make sure the judge understands the technology and will uphold charges and support the conviction of violators.
- Prior to issuing any tickets for violations using the Confirmation Lights, have the traffic signal operations engineer check all of the signals clearance intervals (Yellow + All Red) to make sure they are 100 percent consistent with the agencies adopted guidelines. Have a note confirming compliance signed by the engineer put in the signal controller cabinet. (This will help address the inevitable complaint by those issued tickets that the agency changed the clearance intervals to generate more violators - to increase revenue streams.)
- With local law enforcement, attend county board/city council meetings to speak on the community safety benefits of red-light-running confirmation lights.


## Implementation Resources:

- For crash data and analysis to focus red-light-running enforcement efforts, contact the NDDOT Traffic Safety Office (TSO) at (701) 328-4692.
- See Section 5.5, Traffic Safety Office Supporting Resources.
- Safety projects developed as part of the LRSP are eligible for funding through the state's Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) including enhanced enforcement.
- Contact local agencies that have deployed red-light-running confirmation lights:

City of Burnsville Public Works, Richardson Police Department, Texas
Minnesota
Engineering Department
100 Civic Center Parkway
Burnsville, MN 55337
Phone: 952-895-4534

Burleigh County's Priority Strategy - Provide enhanced enforcement on local, at-risk locations for lane departure.

Description: To reduce lane departure severe crashes on rural paved roads, Burleigh County will be deploying infrastructure safety improvements (e.g., centerline rumble strips, edge line rumble strips, adding or widening edge lines, high visibility pavement markings) at select at-
risk corridors. To maximize the expected safety benefit of the road improvements, integrating increased enforcement presence at targeted at-risk locations and timeframes will reduce risky driver behaviors through strengthening the public's perceived risk of being stopped.

## Getting Started:

- Contact the Traffic Safety Office (TSO) to participate in the SHSP process as a stakeholder in the implementation of strategies identified for priority safety emphasis areas in the SHSP.
- Work with NDDOT staff regarding specific design features of the system. Contact NDDOT Traffic Operations Section, Shawn Kuntz, 701-328-2673.
- Coordinate with local law enforcement to provide enhanced enforcement at local, at-risk locations for lane departure.
- Based on crash data, identify timeframes for high crash risk (i.e., Saturday evening hours)
- Ask for an agreement regarding minimum levels of enforcement (i.e., one hour per day at any of the equipped locations, target contacts per hour, etc.)


## Implementation Resources:

- For crash data and analysis to focus lane departure enforcement efforts, contact the NDDOT Traffic Safety Office (TSO) at (701) 328-4692.
- See Section 5.5, Traffic Safety Office Supporting Resources.
- Safety project developed as part of the LRSP are eligible for funding through the state's Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) including enhanced enforcement.
- See Section 5.4.7 for speed and aggressive driving implementation resources.


### 5.5 Traffic Safety Office Supporting Resources

Unless otherwise indicated, for technical assistance and supporting resources contact the NDDOT Traffic Safety Office (TSO) at (701) 328-4692.

### 5.5.1 TSO Grant Program Application Process

The TSO solicits grant applications from eligible state and local agencies and for-profit and nonprofit organizations that address North Dakota's problem solution plans or PSPs. PSPs reflect the state's greatest opportunities for behavioral safety improvement. Grant applications are due June $30^{\text {th }}$ of each year and are evaluated based on: (1) response to identified problems, (2) proposed evidenced-based strategy, (3) clear objectives, (4) comprehensive evaluation plans, and (5) cost-effective budgets. Selected projects are included in TSO's Highway Safety Plan and once approved by NHTSA, grant contracts are generally effective October 1 through September $30^{\text {th }}$.

### 5.5.2 Technical Assistance

## County Outreach Program

The TSO, in cooperation with the North Dakota Association of Counties, offers a county-based Traffic Safety Outreach program to provide advocacy and community mobilization, media support, public outreach, and training to address seat belt use, impaired driving, speeding, and distracted driving at the county level. County participants include law enforcement, transportation engineering, social services, public health, businesses, nonprofit agencies, faithbased agencies, media, and other entities.

### 5.5.3 Traffic Records/Crash Data

## Traffic and Criminal Software or TraCS

The quality of traffic safety problem identification and decision making regarding effective safety strategies and their implementation is based on the quality and timeliness of crash data. Data is collected from officer crash reports at the time of the incident when a crash involves fatalities, injuries, or at least $\$ 1,000$ in property damage. NDDOT reviews the crash report and enters the data into a centralized database called the Crash Reporting System or CRS.
To assist law enforcement in providing timely, complete, and accurate crash reports, the NDDOT Traffic Safety Office (TSO) supports the installation of Traffic and Criminal Software or TraCS and provides technical assistance and training to local agency and tribal law enforcement to effectively deploy TraCS for in-the-field incident reporting. Local and tribal enforcement agencies are strongly encouraged to utilize the convenience of TraCS for the electronic submission of crash reports to the NDDOT. Key benefits to participating agencies and tribes are the reduced officer time and effort required for duplicate entry into local and state crash databases, reduced need for data entry resources and administrative support, as well as improving the overall quality and timeliness of the crash report.

## Local Agency Crash Data Support

The Upper Great Plains Transportation Institute develops crash data summaries for each law enforcement agency under contract with the TSO for overtime enforcement supporting impaired driving and seat belt enforcement campaigns. The crash data summaries demonstrate the priority crash factors and trends within each local agency's jurisdiction.

## Annual Crash Summary

The NDDOT annually publishes the Crash Summary to identify and describe the annual crash data and historical crash trends in North Dakota including the description of factors contributing to the occurrence of traffic crashes and the resulting injuries and fatalities. The Crash Summary is a valuable reference resource for local agencies and their safety partners for problem identification, safety strategy planning, targeted strategy implementation, program evaluation, and media inquiries.
http://www.dot.nd.gov/divisions/safety/docs/crash-summary.pdf
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