

## Virtual Meeting Agenda

## Discuss Alternatives and Improvements

- Project Location
- Project Purpose \& Need
- Project Schedule
- Project Background \& Feedback Collected
- Traffic Analysis \& Proposed Alternatives
- Other Project Considerations
- Preliminary Cost Estimate \& Next Steps


## Solicit Public Feedback and Answer Questions



- Importance of Soliciting General Public
- Respond to Public Comments and Concerns
- Two Week Comment Period - Mail or Email Comments by Friday, May 3rd


## Project Location

- US 52, $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave SW - $10^{\text {th }}$ St E
- Concrete Reconstruction and Bridge Replacements
- US 52 \& $10^{\text {th }}$ St SE Intersection
- Concrete Reconstruction and Reconfiguration
- $1^{\text {st }}$ Ave $\mathrm{S}, 10^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ SE $-7^{\text {th }}$ St
- Replacement of Pavement Markings
- Other Improvements
- Sidewalk Improvements and Pedestrian Crossings
- Replacement of Storm Sewer \& Lighting
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## Project Purpose \& Need

- Replace Deteriorating Infrastructure - Roadway Pavement, Bridges, Existing Utilities
- Maintain Vehicular Mobility and Access to Local Streets and Businesses
- Improve Pedestrian Environment, Facilities, and Connections
- Support Local and Regional Mobility



## Tentative Project Schedule



## Project Background

- Most Traveled Corridor in Jamestown
- 2015 Land Use Transportation Plan (LUTP)
- Highest Ranked Safety Project Identified by Local Stakeholders in 2020 LUTP Audit
- Public Feedback
- 80-90\% of Comments Focused on Pedestrian Improvements, 9-15\% Dedicated Left Turns/Business Access, 5\% Landscaping


## Alternative Development \& Traffic Analysis
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## Future Roadway System Capacity

- Population and employment growth (1.1\%)
- 4-lanes are needed on west Business Loop
- Tie into road diet on the north



## Design Criteria

|  | ADA Compliance \& Improved Pedestrian Environment Including <br> Pedestrian Crossings |
| :--- | :--- |
| All Alternatives Provide: | Full Intersection Access at 4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue SW/12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street SW <br> Reduced/Consolidated Driveway Access <br> Replacement of Storm Sewer \& Lighting <br> Separation of sidewalk from street and parking lots <br> Re-striping of US 52/1 <br> intersection Ave S to 3-lane north of $10^{\text {th }}$ St SE |


| Design Element | Acceptable Standard |
| :--- | :--- |
| Design Speed | 25 MPH |
| Lane Widths | $12^{\prime}$ Width |
| Center Left-Turn | $12^{\prime}$ Width |
| Sidewalk | 5.0' Desired <br> 4.0' Minimum (only in constrained areas) <br> $1.5 \%$ Cross-Slope |
| Boulevards | 2.0' Minimum Boulevard <br> Stamped, Colored Concrete for Paved <br> $10 \%$ Maximum Slope |

## US 52 Pedestrian Crossings (Common to all Alternatives)

- US 52 and $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave SW/12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St SW

- US 52 between Riverside Dr/10th St SW and $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave SW



## Corridor Alternatives

- US 52 \& 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street SE Intersection Options
- Option 1 - Radial T
- Option 2 - Double Crossovers
- Option 3 - Displaced Left-Turns
- Option 4 - Roundabout
- US 52 Corridor Alternatives - West of James River
- Alternative A - No Build
- Alternative B - 5 Lane with Two-Way Left Turn Lane (TWLTL)
- Alternative C - 4 Lane, Full Intersection Access with Raised Medians
- Alternative D - 4 Lane, 3/4 Intersection Access with Raised Medians
- Alternative E - 4 Lane, Right-In Right-Out Intersection Access with Raised Median


## US 52/1st Ave S \& $10^{\text {th }}$ St SE Intersection Option 1

- Radial T Intersection
- Two lanes for SB \& WB traffic going WB
- One lane for EB to NB, EB to EB, SB to EB, and WB to NB
- One traffic signal
- Pedestrian refuges at raised medians



## US 52/1st Ave S \& $10^{\text {th }}$ St SE Intersection Option 2

- Double Crossover
- Three traffic signals with pedestrian crossings/refuges
- Left-turn movements "cross over" at two signals
- Turns 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Ave SE into right-in right-out
- Provides additional landscaping opportunities



## US 52/1 $1^{\text {st }}$ Ave S \& $10^{\text {th }}$ St SE Intersection Option 3

- Displaced Left-Turns
- WB Left-turn movements "cross over" at east signal
- Two traffic signals with pedestrian crossings/refuges Pedestrians cross during leftturn phase and through phase at main intersection
- Turns $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave SE into right-in right-out



## US 52/1 $1^{\text {st }}$ Ave S \& $10^{\text {th }}$ St SE Intersection Option 4

- Roundabout
- Bypass right-turn lanes in NW and NE
- Two-lane entries at all legs
- Requires acquisition of permanent right of way from NE properties \& parking space reconfiguration



## Intersection Options - Levels of Service



## Intersection Options - Levels of Service



## Alternative B: 5-Lane with Two-Way Left-Turn Lane (TWLTL)



## Alternative B - Typical Section
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Alternative C: 4-Lane, Full Intersection Access with Raised Medians


## Alternatives C, D, \& E - Typical Section
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Alternative D: 4-Lane, $3 / 4$ Intersection Access with Raised Medians


Alternative E: 4-Lane, Right-in Right-out Intersection Access with Raised Median


## Alternative D \& E - Re-Routing \& U-Turn Examples
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## Bridge Replacement over the James River

- Replace Two Aging Structures with One New Structure
- Wider Sidewalks and Boulevards
- Accommodate Intersection Options



## Right of Way Impacts \& Access Management

| Corridor Alternative/Intersection Option | Permanent ROW Sq. Ft. | Temporary ROW Sq. Ft. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B - 5 Lane with TWLTL | $800 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{Ft}$. | 18,524 Sq. Ft. |
| C-4 Lane, Full Intersection Access with Raised Medians | 800 Sq. Ft. | 18,536 Sq. Ft. |
| D-4 Lane, 3/4 Intersection Access with Raised Medians | 800 Sq. Ft. | 18,520 Sq. Ft. |
| E-4 Lane, Right-in Right-Out Intersection Access with Raised Median | $0 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{Ft}$. | 16,885 Sq. Ft. |
| Option 1 - Radial T | 1,419 Sq. Ft. | 9,118 Sq. Ft. |
| Option 2 - Double Crossover | 587 Sq. Ft. | 7,954 Sq. Ft. |
| Option 3 - Displaced Left-Turns | 1,036 Sq. Ft. | 8,433 Sq. Ft. |
| Option 4 - Roundabout | 4,953 Sq. Ft. | 9,395 Sq. Ft. |
| Total Private Accesses | Potential Access Reduction |  |
| 16 | 7 |  |

## Streetscaping Opportunities

- Tree Plantings
- Potential for plantings between back of curb and sidewalk and/or within raised medians
- Outside 250 ' billboard no-planting zones
- Planting Beds
- 9' minimum width desired
- Parking Lot Separation
- Raised v-curb
- Decorative or wire fencing



## Environmental Impact Considerations

- Section 4(f)
- Limiting impacts to park located south of US 52/10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street SE Intersection
- Cultural
- No Historic Properties Affected
- Animal Crossing Accommodations
- Permits/approval associated with James River Bridge:
- US Army Corps Section 404
- City Floodplain Permit
- State Sovereign Land Permit


## Preliminary Cost Estimates \& Funding

|  | Preliminary Construction <br> and Engineering Costs |
| :--- | :---: |
| US 52 CORRIDOR ALTERNATIVES |  |
| Alternative B: 5-Lane with TWLTL | $\$ 5.2 \mathrm{M}-\$ 7.8 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Alternative C: 4-Lane, Full Intersection Access with <br> Raised Medians | $\$ 5.1 \mathrm{M}-\$ 7.6 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Alternative D: 4-Lane, 3/4 Intersection Access with Raised <br> Medians | $\$ 5.1 \mathrm{M}-\$ 7.7 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Alternative E: 4-Lane, Right-In Right-Out Intersection <br> Access with Raised Median | $\$ 4.7 \mathrm{M}-\$ 7.1 \mathrm{M}$ |
| JAMES RIVER BRIDGE REPLACEMENT | $\$ 3.6 \mathrm{M}-\$ 5.8 \mathrm{M}$ |
| US 52 \& 10TH STREET SW INTERSECTION OPTIONS |  |
| Option 1 - Radial T | $\$ 3.4 \mathrm{M}-\$ 5.1 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Option 2 - Double Crossover | $\$ 3.8 \mathrm{M}-\$ 5.7 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Option 3 - Displaced Left-Turns | $\$ 3.9 \mathrm{M}-\$ 5.8 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Option 4 - Roundabout | $\$ 3.5 \mathrm{M}-\$ 5.2 \mathrm{M}$ |
| TOTAL APPROXIMATE PRELIMINARY PROJECT COSTS | $\$ 11.7 \mathrm{M}-\$ 19.4 \mathrm{M}$ |

- Funding: Combination of Federal, State, and City funds
- Federal Share $=\$ 9.4 \mathrm{M}-\$ 15.5 \mathrm{M}$
- State Share $=\$ 2.1 \mathrm{M}-\$ 3.1 \mathrm{M}$
- City Share $=\$ 0.2 \mathrm{M}-\$ 0.8 \mathrm{M}$


## We Value Your Input

Your input will help determine which corridor alternative and intersection option is selected for US 52. Please tell us about your concerns, issues, and needs.


To provide written comments, mail:
SRF Consulting Group, Inc.
Attn: Scott Harmstead
2370 Vermont Avenue
Bismarck, ND 58504

## Email your comments to:

SHarmstead@srfconsulting.com
Subject: "Virtual Public Input Meeting-PCN 23630"
Postmarked or Emailed by May 3rd, 2024

## NDDOT Title VI Public Participation Survey

## Title VI and Nondiscrimination Survey Link

The Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related nondiscrimination authorities require the North Dakota Department of Transportation to ensure everyone has the opportunity to comment on the transportation programs and activities that may affect their community. To help with that, we ask that you respond to the following questions. You are not required to disclose the information requested in order to participate. Any information provided to the NDDOT will be retained solely for the purpose of collecting statistical data to ensure inclusion of all segments of the population affected by transportation programs and activities.

TITLE VI PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SURVEY 图


## Thank You!

